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## The Innovative Database and Information Systems Research (IDIR) Laboratory <br> Research areas

o Big Data and Data Science (Database, Data Mining, Web Data Management, Information Retrieval)

## Theme of current research

o building large-scale human-assisting and human-assisted data and information systems with high usability, low cost and applications for social good

## Research directions

o computational journalism
0 crowdsourcing and human computation
o data exploration by ranking/skyline/preference queries
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## Our Computational Journalism Project

Started in 2010. Collaborative project with Duke, Google Research, and Stanford. Collaboration with HP Labs China and Chinese Academy of Sciences.
o Story finding: finding and monitoring number-based facts pertinent to real-world events. The facts are leads to news stories.
o Fact checking: discovering and checking factual claims in political discourses, social media, and news.

## Publications

o Detecting Check-worthy Factual Claims in Presidential Debates. Naeemul Hassan, Chengkai Li, Mark Tremayne. CIKM 2015, pages 1835-1838.
o The Quest to Automate Fact-Checking. Naeemul Hassan, Bill Adair, James Hamilton, Chengkai Li, Mark Tremayne, Jun Yang and Cong Yu. 2015 Computation+Journalism Symposium.
o Online Frequent Episode Mining. Xiang Ao, Ping Luo, Chengkai Li, Fuzhen Zhuang, and Qing He. ICDE 2015, pages 891-902.
o Data In, Fact Out: Automated Monitoring of Facts by FactWatcher. Naeemul Hassan, Afroza Sultana, You Wu, Gensheng Zhang, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, and Cong Yu. VLDB 2014, pages 1557-1560. Demonstration description. (excellent demonstration award)
o Finding, Monitoring, and Checking Claims Computationally Based on Structured Data. Brett Walenz, You (Will) Wu, Seokhyun (Alex) Song, Emre Sonmez, Eric Wu, Kevin Wu, Pankaj K. Agarwal, Jun Yang, Naeemul Hassan, Afroza Sultana, Gensheng Zhang, Chengkai Li, Cong Yu. 2014 Computation+Journalism Symposium.

## Publications (cont'd)

o Toward Computational Fact-Checking. You Wu, Pankaj K. Agarwal, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, Cong Yu. VLDB 2014, pages 589-600.
o iCheck: computationally combating "lies, d-ned lies, and statistics". You Wu, Brett Walenz, Peggy Li, Andrew Shim, Emre Sonmez, Pankaj K. Agarwal, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, Cong Yu. SIGMOD 2014, pages 1063-1066.
o Incremental Discovery of Prominent Situational Facts. Afroza Sultana, Naeemul Hassan, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, Cong Yu. ICDE 2014, pages 112-123.
o Discovering General ProminentStreaks in Sequence Data. Gensheng Zhang, Xiao Jiang, Ping Luo, Min Wang, Chengkai Li. ACM TKDD, 8(2):article 9, June 2014.
o Discovering and Learning Sensational Episodes of News Events. Xiang Ao, Ping Luo, Chengkai Li, Fuzhen Zhuang, Qing He, and Zhongzhi Shi. WWWW 2014, pages 217-218.

## Publications (cont'd)

o On "One of the Few" Objects. You Wu, Pankaj K. Agarwal, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, Cong Yu. KDD 2012, pages 1487-1495.
o ProminentStreak Discovery in Sequence Data. Xiao Jiang, Chengkai Li, Ping Luo, Min Wang, Yong Yu. KDD 2011, pages 1280-1288.
o Computational Journalism: A Call to Arms to Database Researchers. Sarah Cohen, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, Cong Yu. CIDR 2011, pages 148-151. (3rd place in best Outrageous Ideas and Vision (OIV) Track paper competition)

## The Quest to Automate Fact-Checking

## People Make Claims All The Time

"... our Navy is smaller than it's been since 1917", said Republican candidate Mitt Romney in third presidential debate in 2012.


## Fact Checking is not Easy

"... our Navy is smaller than it's been since 1917", said Republican candidate Mitt Romney in third presidential debate in 2012.
U.S. Navy Has Smallest Number of Ships Since 1916



## Fact Checking is not Easy

"... our Navy is smaller than it's been since 1917", said Republican candidate Mitt Romney in third presidential debate in 2012.

U.S. Navy Has Smallest Number of Ships Since 1916

http://s3.amazonaws.com/thf_media/2010/pdf/Military_chartbook.pdf
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Navy

## Existing Fact Checking Projects

 Journalists and reporters spend good amount of time on fact checking

The U.S. military is at risk of losing its "military superiority" because "our Navy is smaller than it's been since 1917. Our Air Force is smaller and older than any time since 1947."

- Mitt Romney on Monday, January 16th, 2012 in a

Republican presidential debate in Myrtle Beach, S.C.
PolitiFact http://www.politifact.com/
FactCheckEU https://factcheckeu.org/
FullFact http://fullfact.org/
Snopes http://www.snopes.com/info/whatsnew.asp
Factcheck http://www.factcheck.org/

## Numerous Claims to Check. Rise of Fact-Checkers

Republican candidate debate, August 6, $2015 .{ }^{1}$
9 facts checked by factcheck.org
8 facts checked by CNN
24 facts checked by PolitiFact

64 active fact-checking sites in 2015, 44 in 2014. ${ }^{2}$

1. http://time.com/3988276/republican-debate-primetime-transcript-full-text/
2. http://reporterslab.org/snapshot-of-fact-checking-around-the-world-july-2015/

## Limitations of Current Fact-Checking Practices

o Journalists spend hours going through documents to identify claims.
o Significant time gap between speech and reporting times. Audience doesn't get correct information.
o Requires advanced writing skills to persuade readers. Such skilled writers are sparse.
o Lack of Structured Journalism and use of old publishing frameworks hinders Semantic Web applications.

## The Holy Grail: Automated, Live Fact-Checking
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## ClaimBuster

0 political discourses (debates, interviews), advertisements, live events on TV and online video streams
o social media (e.g., twitter)
o web pages
0 news articles
assist data analysis; solicit analyses from professionals

$\Rightarrow$ detector $\Rightarrow$ factual clai

no match


## ClaimBuster

0 political discourses debates. interviews), advertisements, live events on TV and online video streams
o social media (e.g twitter)
o web pages
0 news articles
assist data analysis: solicit analyses from professionals
repository of alreadychecked claims
$\neg$ detector $\neg$ important factual claims
no match

matched

display existing fact-checkers, delivered via browser extensions, mobile and smart-TV apps

## iCheck (Led by Duke)

## Kay Hagan is overly partisan.

 Obama wants," North Carolina GOP Chairman Claude Pope said

Original claim made by: 1

Supporting Arguments
F Frank R. Lautenberg(D) and Kay Hagan(D) agreed on 90.02\% of the votes they cast
between 2011-01-05 (start of session 2011) and 2013-01-01 (end of session 2012)

Counter Arguments
Generated Counter Arguments

## iCheck (Led by Duke)

## In 2011, Miguel Cabrera had 197 in hits, 30 in homeruns, 0.34 in batting average; only 6 other players have ever beaten this record;

Vladimir Guerrero: 197 in hits, 44 in homeruns, 0.35 in batting average in 2000
Todd Helton: 216 in hits, 42 in homeruns, 0.37 in batting average in 2000; 209 in hits, 33 in homeruns, 0.36 in batting average in 2003 Mike Piazza: 201 in hits, 40 in homeruns, 0.36 in batting average in 1997
Albert Pujols: 212 in hits, 43 in homeruns, 0.36 in batting average in 2003 Alex Rodriguez: 215 in hits, 36 in homeruns, 0.36 in batting average in 1996
Larry Walker: 208 in hits, 49 in homeruns, 0.37 in batting average in 1997

## Responses

The same claim (i.e. "no more than 6 other players have ever beaten this player's record in some year in 'hits', 'homeruns', 'batting average') can be made for 41 other players.
The other player are: Albert Belle in 1995 (4), in 1998 (1); Adrian Beltre in 2004 (1); Dante Bichette in 1995 (6), in 1998 (3); Barry Bonds in 2001 (0), in 2002 (0), in 2003 (1), in 2004 (1); Bret Boone in 2001 (6); Ellis Burks in 1996 (2); Vinny Castilla in 1998 (1); Carlos Delgado in 2000 (4); Jacoby Ellsbury in 2011 (4); Darin Erstad in 2000 (0); Nomar Garciaparra in 2000 (1); Adrian Gonzalez in 2011 (4); Luis Gonzalez in 2001 (0); Ken Griffey in 1997 (3), in 1998 (6); Vladimir Guerrero in 2000 (1), in 2002 (4), in 2004 (4); Tony Gwynn in 1995 (2), in 1997 (0); Josh Hamilton in 2010 (3); Todd Helton in 2000 (0), in 2001 (1), in 2003 (1), in 2004 (4); Matt Holliday in 2007 (1); Ryan Howard in 2006 (1); Derek Jeter in 1999

## ClaimBuster to be 2016－Ready

## V1ゥ』ィし』



Presidential Debates
（Speeches，debates， interviews，social
media，news）

Factual claims
$\Rightarrow$ recommended $\boldsymbol{\square}$ to be checked

## CLAIMBUSTER



Journalists investigate the claims
（or checked by algorithms， citizens，crowd）

## Finding Important Factual Claims: A

 Classification Problem

## Dataset: Presidential Debate Transcripts

o Source: http://www.debates.org/index.php?page=debatetranscripts
o All 30 debates (11 elections) in history: 1960, 1976-2012
o 20k sentences by presidential candidates: removed very short (< 5 words) sentences
$A$

## 3 Classes of Sentences

## Important factual claims

"We spend less on the military today than at any time in our history." 'TThe President's position on gay marriage has changed." "More people are unemployed today than four years ago."

## Unimportant factual claims

"I was in Iowa yesterday." "My mother enjoys cooking." "I ran for President once before." Sentences with no factual claims (just opinions, questions \& declarations)
"Iran must not get nuclear weapons." "7\% unemployment is too high." "My opponent is wishy-washy." "I will be tough on crime." "Why should we do that?"" "Hello, New Hampshire!" "Our plan is to reduce tax rate by $10 \%$."

## Ground Truth Collection

o Developed a data collection platform bit.ly/claimbusters.
o In 3 months, we accumulated 226 participants.
o Used 600 screening sentences to detect spammers \& low-quality participants.
o Admitted sentences which are agreed by at least 2 top-quality participants.
o 8015 such sentences.

| Class | Count |
| :--- | :--- |
| CFS | 1673 |
| UFS | 482 |
| NFS | 5860 |

## Ground Truth Collection Website

## OI: Wages are goings up for the first time in a decade.

More Context
Will the general public be interested in knowing whether (part of) this sentence is true or false?

There is no factual claim in this sentence.

There is a factual claim but it is unimportant

There is an important factual claim.

## Feature Extraction

## Keywords:



Sentiment: [-1.0 to 1.0$]$
Words: tf-idf scores of 6130 words (excluding rare words)
POS Tag: 43 tags
Entity Type: 26 types

## Feature Selection

o 6201 features in total
o Used a Random Forest Classifier to calculate importance of each feature.
o Most Important Feature: POS tag ‘Cardinal Number’

## Important Features



## Implementation: Python NLP/ML Tools

## Data wrangling

o Use NLTK (Natural Language Toolkit) to transform debate files into structured data format
o Use mysql-python-connector to store extracted features into an MySQL database
o Use matplotib to plot classifiers' performance.

## Feature extraction

o Use AlchemyAPI (Python wrapper) to extract rich features of sentences

## Classification

o Use scikit-learn to build classification models

## Evaluation: Classification

o 4-fold cross validation
o Algorithms: Naive Bayes, Random Forest \& Support Vector Machine
o Support Vector Machine performed better than others in general.

|  | Precision | Recall | F-measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NFS | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.93 |
| UFS | 0.65 | 0.26 | 0.37 |
| CFS | 0.79 | 0.74 | 0.77 |

## Evaluation: Ranking

o Measured accuracy of top-K sentences.
o ClaimBuster has a strong agreement with high-quality human coders on the check-worthiness of sentences

| K | P@K | NDCG@K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | 1 | 1 |
| 100 | 0.960 | 0.970 |
| 200 | 0.940 | 0.951 |
| 300 | 0.853 | 0.881 |
| 500 | 0.690 | 0.840 |
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## Case Study: \#GOPDebate2015

o Near real-time experiment with 2015 first Republican primary debate
o Transcript grabbed from closed captions of the Fox News channel using TextGrabber
o 1393 sentences
o $71 \%$ of the fact-checks from CNN, factcheck.org \& PolitiFact were ranked by ClaimBuster within top $18 \%$.

## Case Study: \#GOPDebate2015

| CNN Claim | Associated sentence(s)[From TextGrabber] | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Part of this iranian deal was lifting the international sanctions on general sulemani. | 0.415 |
| 2 | I would go on to add - >> you don't favor - >> i have never said that. | 0.511 |
| 3 | A majority of the candidates on this stage supported amnesty. | 0.295 |
| 4 | Timely the medicaid is growing at one of the lowest rates in the country. | 0.534 |
| 4 | We went from $\$ 8$ billion in the hole to $\$ 5$ million in the black. | 0.773 |
| 5 | And the mexican government is much smarter, much sharper, much more cunning and they send the bad ones over because they don't want to pay for them. | 0.215 |
| 6 | [Not found in the transcript] | N/A |

## Case Study: \#GOPDebate2015

o Real-time experiment with 2015 second Republican primary debate
o Closed Captions from CNN channel
o Tweeted important factual claims to https:/ / twitter.com/ClaimBusterTM, live!


## Follow



## http://idir.uta.edu/claimbuster

## ClaimBuster

2016 Republican Party Presidential Debate. Sept 16, 2015, 7 p.m.
Venue: Romald Reasan Presidental Lerary, Smil Valley Corromis. Broadated
 Trump, Scon waker



## Automated live fact-checking



## 2016 Republican Party Presidential Debate. Sept. 16, 2015, 7 p.m.

Speakers: Dana Bash, Jeb Bush, Ben Carson, Chris Christie, Ted Cruz, Carly Fiorina, Hugh Hewitt, Mike Huckabee, John Kasich, Rand Paul, Marco Rubio, Jake Tapper, Donald Trump, Scott Walker

##  <br> PRESIDENTLAL DEBATE

## 2016 Republican Party Presidential Debate. Aug. 6, 2015, 8 p.m.

Speakers: Bret Baier, Jeb Bush, Ben Carson, Chris Christie, Ted Cruz, Carly Fiorina, Mike Huckabee, John Kasich, Megyn Kelly, Rand Paul, Rick Perry, Marco Rubio, Donald Trump, Scott Walker, Chris Wallace

Tweets

ModerateEdge @ModerateEdge
@realDonaldTrump If you make $\$ 25,001$, should you pay $\$ 2,500$ when $\$ 25,000$ you pay nothing? Pay only on amt over $\$ 25 \mathrm{~K}$. \#Trump2016 \#DonaldTrump
\& 7 Retweeted by ClaimBuster Expand

BicycleBrandsDirect
(1) @ @bicyclebrands
1.3 million bicycles recalled for crash hazard: (KMSP) - Nearly 1.3 million bicycles in the United States are bit.ly/1MGT2HO
䜣 Retweeted by ClaimBuster
Expand
Retich Luchette @richluchette
$\$ 272$ million project, more than 3,000 jobs
Tweet to @ClaimBusterTM

2016 Republican Party Presidential Debate. Sept. 16, 2015, 7 p.m
Venue: Ronald Reagan Presidental Lbrary, Smi Valley, Callornial. Broadcasted by: CNN.
Speakers: Dana Bash, Jeb Bush, Ben Carson, Crris Crrste, Ted Cruz, Carly Fiorina, Hugh Hewit, Mike Huckabee, Jotn Kaskh, Rand Paul, Marco Rublo, Jake Tapper, Donald Trump, Scot Waker
Transcript Source: htipolitme com/4037233/second-vepubican-debale-transcript-crn
0.48 The eleven lesaing Repubican candidates for president are at their podiums
0.17 They are ready to tice oll and y yoive been watching this race, you krow anyting could happen ouer the next few hours
0.41 To vewers who are Just Joining us, welcome to the Air Force One Pafllon of the Ronaid Reagan Presidental

Lteray
29 Our thanks to the start here and especially to torner frat lady Nancy Reagan for this impressive setting wh Ronaid Reagar's presibertial plane as our backaroo.
0.29 This debate is aring on CNN networks in the United States and around the worid.
0.30 rts also being broadcast on the Salem Radio Network.
0.17 I know everyone is very eager to get staried.
0.23 But first, I want to explain the ground rules tonight
0.19 My name is Jake Tapper.
0.19 rl be the moderator:
0.24 I wil be joined in the questioning by Salem Rado Network talk show host Hugh Hewtt
0.44 He worsed in the Reagan administration for $5 \times \mathrm{y}$ years.
0.22 And by CNNs chief poltical corresponsent Dana Eash
0.18 I wil ask follow-up questions, I whll attempt to guide the discussion.


## iR
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2016 Republican Party Presidential Debate. Sept. 16, 2015, 7 p.m.
Venue: Ronald Reagan Presidential Library, Simi Valley, California. Broadcasted by: CNN.
Speakers: Dana Bash, Jeb Bush, Ben Carson, Chris Christie, Ted Cruz, Carly Fiorina, Hugh Hewitt, Mike Huckabee, John Kasich, Rand Paul, Marco Rubio, Jake Tapper, Donald Trump, Scott Walker
Transcript Source: http://time.com/4037239/second-republican-debate-transcript-cnn/

Most Check-worthy $<=1.0<=0.9<=0.8<=0.7<=0.6<=0.5<=0.4<=0.3<=0.2<=0.1$ Least Check-worthy

### 0.24 That's a fact.

0.33 And when the people of lowa found that out, I went to No.
0.461 and you went down the tubes.
0.29 Governor Walker?
0.13 Jake, yeah, absolutely, I'll take this on, because this is an issue that's important in this race.
0.31 Just because he says it doesn't make it true.
0.19 The facts are the facts.
0.75 We balanced a $\$ 3.6$ billion budget deficit, we did it by cutting taxes $-\$ 4.7$ billion to help working families, family farmers, small business owners and senior citizens.
0.23 And it's about time people in America stand up and take note of this.
0.30 If you want someone that can actually take on the special interest of Washington, which you yourself said you were part of, using the system, we need somebody that will stand up and fight for average Americans to put them back in charge of their government.
0.16 I m the one who is taking that on.
0.23 I'll do that as your next president.
0.17 Let's move on.
0.23 Jake, Jake.
0.13 A phenomenon going on in the race right now is the political...
0.25 OK, Governor Kasich, go ahead.
....C2015 The University of Texas at,Arlington. All Rights Reserved


In fact, today, on the front page of the Wall Street
0.53 Journal, they fired another 25 or 30,000 people saying we still haven't recovered from the catastrophe.

## Debate Timeline Graph




## You are Invited

## http:/ /bit.ly/ claimbusters



## FactWatcher

## Automated Monitoring of Facts from RealWorld Events

## FactWatcher

|  | Tuple $t$ for new real world event appended to database | id | player | day | month | season | team | opp_team | pts | ast | reb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $t_{l}$ | Bogues | 11 | Feb. | 1991-92 | Hornets | Hawks | 4 | 12 | 5 |
|  |  | $t_{2}$ | Seikaly | 13 | Feb. | 1991-92 | Heat | Hawks | 24 | 5 | 15 |
|  |  | $t_{3}$ | Sherman | 7 | Dec. | 1993-94 | Celtics | Nets | 13 | 13 | 5 |
|  |  | $t_{4}$ | Wesley | 4 | Feb. | 1994-95 | Celtics | Nets | 2 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | $t_{5}$ | Wesley | 5 | Feb. | 1994-95 | Celtics | Timberwolves | 3 | 5 | 3 |
|  |  | $t$, | Strictland | 3 | Jan. | 1995-96 | Blazers | Celtics | 27 | 18 | 8 |
|  |  | $t_{7}$ | Wesley | 25 | Feb. | 1995-96 | Celtics | Nets | 12 | 13 | 5 |

Find constraint-measure pair ( $C, M$ ) such that $t$ is in the contextual skyline

Generate factual claim
Wesley had 12 points, 13 assists and 5 rebounds on February 25, 1996 to become the first player with a 12/13/5 (points/assists/rebounds) in February.

## FactWatcher Finds Three Types of Facts (and can be Extended) Prominent streaks

Long consecutive subsequence of high values in a sequence

## One-of-the-few objects

Qualifying statements that can only be made for very few objects

## Situational facts

Comparison contexts and spaces that make a given object stand out

## FactWatcher Finds Three Types of Facts (and can be Extended)

## Domains

0 sports, weather, crimes, transportation, finance, social media analytics

## Examples from Real News Media

## Prominent streaks

o "This month the Chinese capital has experienced 10 days with a maximum temperature in around 35 degrees Celsius - the most for the month of July in a decade." http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2010-07/27/content_11055675.htm
o "The Nikkei 225 closed below 10000 for the 12th consecutive week, the longest such streak since June 2009."
http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2010-08-06/japanese-stocks-fall-for-second-day-this-week-on-u-s-jobless-claims-yen

## FactWatcher Finds Three Types of Facts (and can be Extended)

## Examples from Real News Media

## Situational facts, One-of-the-few objects

o "Paul George had 21 points, 11 rebounds and 5 assists to become the first Pacers player with a 20/10/5 (points/rebounds/assists) game against the Bulls since Detlef Schrempf in December 1992."

0 "The social world's most viral photo ever generated 3.5 million likes, 170,000 comments and 460,000 shares by Wednesday afternoon." http://www.cnbc.com/id/49728455
"LIVE UPDATE
[February 20, 1998] Todd Fuller had 1 assist, 3 steals and 1 block in the Golden State Warriors' defeat against the Denver Nuggets. It is one of the best performance made by him.

## Presented In

## Excellent Demo Award

## COMPUTATION + JOURNALISM <br> SYMPOSUM A

## http://idir.uta.edu/factwatcher/

© 2015 The University of Texas at Arlington. All Rights Reserved.

[April 24, 1994] David Robinson had 71 points and 14 rebounds in the San Antonio Spurs' victory against the Los Angeles Clippers. No one before had a better performance in NBA history.
[April 20, 1994] Shaquille O'neal had 53 points and 18 rebounds in the Orlando Magic's win over the Minnesota Timberwolves. No one before had a better performance in NBA history.
[February 16, 1993] Shaquille O'neal had 46 points and 21 rebounds in the Orlando Magic's defeat against the Detroit Pistons. No one before had a better performance in NBA history.
[February 27, 1992] David Robinson had 37 points and 24 rebounds in the San Antonio Spurs' victory against the Golden State Warriors. No one before had a better performance in NBA history.
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## How were such Facts Discovered in Current Systems?

## Our (educated?) guess

0 Experts monitor real-world events (e.g., watching an NBA game), have a gut-feeling, issue database queries, check out or not
o Prepared facts-to-be (e.g., Nowitzki only needs 477 more points to surpass O'Neal. Perhaps will happen around Christmas 2015)
o Predefined templates of facts/database queries
o Perhaps in-house systems/algorithms similar to FactWatcher


The World's Foremost Sports Statisticians and Historians

## StatSheet

## No. 1-Seeded Louisville Clips No. 4-Seeded Michigan 82-76, Wins NCAA Championship

Filed under Game Recap on April 9th, 2013

## Share this recap



## NCAA Tournament 7th Round

|  |  | 1ST | 2ND | total | SPREAD | Mon, Apr 08 2013, 10:23 PM EDT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ | \#4 Michigan | 38 | 38 | 76 | $+4.0{ }^{\circ}$ | Georgia Dome |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atlanta, Georgia |
| A8 | \#1 Louisville | 37 | 45 | 82 | $-4.0{ }^{\circ}$ | Attendance: 74,326 TV: CBS |

- StatSeed: NCAA Automatic \#1 Seed


More about Fan Satisfaction

## Boxscore | Game Notes | Game Recap | StatSmack

No. 1-seeded Louisville got the win against No. 4-seeded Michigan 82-76 in the Championship Game of the NCAA Tournament on Monday, Apr. 8. The Cardinals were led by Peyton Siva, who got 18 points and six rebounds ( 5 Ast 4 StI ). Gorgui Dieng also had an outstanding outing, scoring eight points and adding eight rebounds ( 6 Ast 3 Blk). Michigan closes out its impressive season with a 31-8 overall record. The Wolverines got to the NCAA Tournament as an at-large team after falling to Wisconsin 68-59 in the Big Ten Tournament. In the regular season, they finished fourth in the Big Ten with a 12-6 conference record. In making the national championship game, Michigan knocked off No. 13-seeded South Dakota State 71-56 in the second round and No. 5-seeded Virginia Commonwealth 78-53 in the third round. Following that, the Wolverines got through No. 1-seeded Kansas 87-85 in the Sweet Sixteen, No. 3-seeded Florida 79-59 in the Elite Eight, and No. 4-seeded Syracuse 61-56 in the Final Four. For the Wolverines, Trey Burke got a game-high 24 points and fou rebounds. Michigan (31-8) finished the regular season fourth in the Big Ten with a 12-6 record. Through their amazing run, Louisville got through No. 16-seeded North Carolina A\&T 79-48 in the second round and No. 8-seeded Colorado State 82-56 in the third round. Following that, the Cardinals got through No. 12-seeded Oregon 77-69 in the Sweet Sixteen, No. 2-seeded Duke 85-63 in the Elite Eight, and No. 9-seeded Wichita State $72-68$ in the Final Four
(C)2015 The University of Texas at Arlington. All Rights Reserved.


Categories

| Hotbes - | New Posts <br> +18 posts this hour | Popular <br> Most Reputable Comp: | Lists <br> The Global 2000 | Video <br> Hip-Hop's Wealthiest | Search | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Narrative

 Science
## Narrative Science

Forbes Partner

+ Follow (50)

> xerox 6


Wall Street projections are down $1.1 \%$ year-over-year, as the company reported earnings of 92 cents per share.

The consensus estimate has gone up, from 82 cents, over the past three months. Analysts are expecting earnings of $\$ 4.04$ per share three months. Analysts are expecting earnings of $\$ 4.04$ per share
for the fiscal year. Revenue is projected to be $\$ 3.49$ billion for the quarter, $1.2 \%$ above the year-earlier total of $\$ 3.45$ billion. For the year, revenue is projected to roll in at $\$ 15.21$ billion.

Rexerue has declined for the third quarter in a row The year-over-

## Forbes Earnings Preview: Anadarko Petroleum

By Narrative Science

+ Comment Now + Follow Comments
Analysts have become increasingly bullish on Anadarko Petroleum $A P C+202 \%$ (APC) in the month leading up to the company's first quarter earnings announcement scheduled for Monday, May 6, 2013. The consensus earnings per share estimate has moved up from 88 cents a share to the current expectation of earnings of 91 cents a share.


# Incremental Discovery of Prominent Situational Facts. Afroza Sultana, Naeemul Hassan, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, Cong Yu. ICDE 2014, pages 112-123. 

## Situational Facts

"Paul George had 21 points, 11 rebounds and 5 assists to become the first Pacers player with a 20/10/5 (points/rebounds/assists) game against the Bulls since Detlef Schrempf in December 1992." (http://espn.go.com/espn/elias?date=20130205)

## Skyline
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## Situational Facts

"The social world's most viral photo ever generated 3.5 million likes, 170,000 comments and 460,000 shares by Wednesday afternoon."
(http://www.cnbc.com/id/49728455/President Obama Sets New Social Media Record)
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## Situational Facts

"The social world's most viral photo ever generated 3.5 million likes, 170,000 comments and 460,000 shares by Wednesday afternoon."
(http://www.cnbc.com/id/49728455/President Obama Sets New Social Media Record)


## Situational Facts

-Stock Data: Stock A becomes the first stock in history with price over \$300 and market cap over \$400 billion.
-Weather Data: Today’s measures of wind speed and humidity are x and y, respectively. City B has never encountered such high wind speed and humidity in March.
-Criminal Records: There were 50 DUI arrests and 20 collisions in city C yesterday, the first time in 2013.


## A Mini-world of Basketball Gamelogs

| id | player | day | month | season | team | opp_team | pts | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{1}$ | Bogues | 11 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Hornets | Hawks | 4 | 12 | 5 |
| $t_{2}$ | Seikaly | 13 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Heat | Hawks | 24 | 5 | 15 |
| $t_{3}$ | Sherman | 7 | Dec. | $1993-94$ | Celtics | Nets | Nets | 13 | 13 |
| $t_{4}$ | Wesley | 4 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | 5 |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | Wesley | 5 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | Timberwolves | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| $t_{6}$ | Strictland | 3 | Jan. | $1995-96$ | Blazers | Celtics | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| $t_{7}$ | Wesley | 25 | Feb. | $1995-96$ | Celtics | Nets | 27 | 18 | 8 |

## Last tuple appenaed to tadre

## A Mini-world of Basketball Gamelogs

| id | player | day | month | season | team | opp_team | pts | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{1}$ | Bogues | 11 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Hornets | Hawks | 4 | 12 | 5 |
| $t_{2}$ | Seikaly | 13 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Heat | Hawks | 24 | 5 | 15 |
| $t_{3}$ | Sherman | 7 | Dec. | $1993-94$ | Celtics | Nets | 13 | 13 | 5 |
| $t_{4}$ | Wesley | 4 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | Nets | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| $t_{5}$ | Wesley | 5 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | Timberwolves | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| $t_{6}$ | Strictland | 3 | Jan. | $1995-96$ | Blazers | Celtics | 27 | 18 | 8 |
| $t_{7}$ | Wesley | 25 | Feb. | $1995-96$ | Celtics | Nets | 12 | 13 | 5 |

## A Mini-world of Basketball Gamelogs



## A Mini-world of Basketball Gamelogs

| id |  |  | month |  |  |  |  | pts | ast |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{1}$ |  |  | Feb. |  |  | reb |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ |  |  | Feb. |  |  |  |  | 4 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| $t_{4}$ |  |  | Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ |  |  | Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

-Wesley had 12 points, 13 assists and 5 rebounds on February 25, 1996 to become the first player with a - 12/13/5 (points/assists/rebounds) in February.

## A Mini-world of Basketball Gamelogs

| id |  |  |  | season |  |  |  | pts | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## A Mini-world of Basketball Gamelogs

| id |  |  |  |  | team | opp_team |  | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Celtics | Nets |  | 13 |

-Wesley had 13 assists and 5 rebounds on February 25, 1996 to become the second Celtics player with a $13 / 5$ (assists/rebounds) game against the Nets.

## Problem Definition

## Dimension space: $\mathscr{D}=\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$

## Measure space: $\mathcal{M}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}\right\}$

| id | player | day | month | season | team | opp_team | pts | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{1}$ | Bogues | 11 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Hornets | Hawks | Hawks | 4 | 12 |
| $t_{2}$ | Seikaly | 13 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Heat | 5 |  |  |  |
| $t_{3}$ | Sherman | 7 | Dec. | $1993-94$ | Celtics | Nets | 24 | 5 | 15 |
| $t_{4}$ | Wesley | 4 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | Nets | 13 | 13 | 5 |
| $t_{5}$ | Wesley | 5 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | Timberwolves | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| $t_{6}$ | Strictland | 3 | Jan. | $1995-96$ | Blazers | Celtics | 27 | 18 | 8 |

## append-only table

## Problem Definition

$\square$ Constraint (C): $d_{1}=v_{1} \wedge d_{2}=v_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d_{n}=v_{n}, v_{i} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(d_{i}\right) \cup\{*\}$

- team=Celtics $\wedge$ opp_team=Nets

| id |  |  |  |  | team | opp_team |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{3}$ |  |  |  |  | Celtics | Nets |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | Celtics | Nets |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Problem Definition

$\square$ Constraint-Measure Pair ( $C, M$ ): Combination of a constraint and measure subspace

- (team=Celtics $\wedge$ opp_team=Nets,\{assists,rebounds\})

| id |  |  |  |  | team | opp_team |  | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{3}$ |  |  |  |  | Celtics | Nets |  | 13 | 5 |
| $t_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | Celtics | Nets |  | 5 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Problem Definition

$\square$ Contextual skyline: skyline regarding ( $C, M$ )

- $\sigma_{\text {team }=\text { Celtics } \wedge \text { opp_team=Nets }}(R), M=\{$ assists,rebounds $\}$ $>\left\{t_{3}\right\}$

| id |  |  |  |  | team | opp_team |  | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{3}$ |  |  |  |  | Celtics | Nets |  | 13 | 5 |
| $t_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | Celtics | Nets |  | 5 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FactWatcher

|  | Tuple $t$ for new real world event appended to database | id | player | day | month | season | team | opp_team | pts | ast | reb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $t_{l}$ | Bogues | 11 | Feb. | 1991-92 | Hornets | Hawks | 4 | 12 | 5 |
|  |  | $t_{2}$ | Seikaly | 13 | Feb. | 1991-92 | Heat | Hawks | 24 | 5 | 15 |
|  |  | $t_{3}$ | Sherman | 7 | Dec. | 1993-94 | Celtics | Nets | 13 | 13 | 5 |
|  |  | $t_{4}$ | Wesley | 4 | Feb. | 1994-95 | Celtics | Nets | 2 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | $t_{5}$ | Wesley | 5 | Feb. | 1994-95 | Celtics | Timberwolves | 3 | 5 | 3 |
|  |  | $t$, | Strictland | 3 | Jan. | 1995-96 | Blazers | Celtics | 27 | 18 | 8 |
|  |  | $t_{7}$ | Wesley | 25 | Feb. | 1995-96 | Celtics | Nets | 12 | 13 | 5 |

Find constraint-measure pair ( $C, M$ ) such that $t$ is in the contextual skyline

Generate factual claim
Wesley had 12 points, 13 assists and 5 rebounds on February 25, 1996 to become the first player with a 12/13/5 (points/assists/rebounds) in February.

## Related Work

## >Conventional skyline analysis (Borzsonyi et al. ICDE 2001)

-Q: context, measure subspace $\Longrightarrow$ A: contextual skyline tuples
$\checkmark$ Our focus--- A: tuple $\Longrightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ : constraint-measure pairs

## Related Works

## Compressed Skycube (Xia et al. sigmod 2006)

- Update compressed skycube in monitoring fashion $\checkmark$ We adapted CSC for each constraint: Constraint-CSC

| id | player | day | month | season | team | opp_team | pts | ast | reb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{I}$ | Bogues | 11 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Hornets | Hawks | 4 | 12 | 5 |
| $t_{2}$ | Seikaly | 13 | Feb. | $1991-92$ | Heat | Hawks | 24 | 5 | 15 |
| $t_{3}$ | Sherman | 7 | Dec. | $1993-94$ | Celtics | Nets | 13 | 13 | 5 |
| $t_{4}$ | Wesley | 4 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | Nets | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| $t_{5}$ | Wesley | 5 | Feb. | $1994-95$ | Celtics | Timberwolves | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| $t_{4}$ | Strictland | 3 | Jan. | $1995-96$ | Blazers | Celtics | 27 | 18 | 8 |
| $t_{7}$ | Wesley | 25 | Feb. | $1995-96$ | Celtics | Nets | 12 | 13 | 5 |



| Constraint | Measure |
| :--- | :--- |
| month=Feb | pts, ast, reb |
| opp_team=Nets | ast, reb |
|  <br> opp_team=Nets | ast, reb |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Related Works

>Prominent Analysis by Ranking (Wu et. Al. vLDB 2009)

- Static data, onetime query
$\checkmark$ We dealt on continuous data, standing query
-Find the contexts where an object is ranked high in a single scoring attribute
$\checkmark$ We considered skyline on multiple measure subspaces


## Modeling

## T

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



Tuple Satisfied Constraint $C^{t}$ : If $\forall d_{i} \in \mathscr{D}, C_{i} . d_{i}=*$ or $C . d_{i}=t . d_{i}, t$ satisfies
C.

## Modeling

Lattice of $C^{t_{4}}$

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $\boldsymbol{t}_{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{t}_{5}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |



## Lattice of $C^{t_{5}}$

Lattice of $C^{t_{4}}$

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $\boldsymbol{t}_{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 20 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{t}_{5}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

## Lattice of $C^{t_{5}}$

Lattice Intersection: $C^{t_{4}, t_{5}}=C^{t_{4}} \cap C^{t_{5}}$

## Brute-Force Approach
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## Brute-Force Approach

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## Brute-Force Approach

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## Brute-Force Approach

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## Brute-Force Approach

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## Brute-Force Approach

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |

Total $|R|^{*}\left(2^{||\mathcal{D N |}|| M M}-1\right)$ comparisons!
Total 16 comparisons in this case!


## Challenges

$>$ Exhaustive comparison with every tuple >Under every constraint > Over every measure subspace

## Challenges and Ideas

$\Rightarrow$ Exhaustive comparison with every tuple

## $\checkmark$ Tuple reduction

-Comparison with skyline tuples is enough

- $t_{4} \succ_{\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}} t_{3} \succ_{\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}} t_{5}=>t_{4} \succ_{\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}} t_{5}$

| id |  | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ |  | $b_{1}$ |  | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ |  | $b_{1}$ |  | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ |  | $b_{1}$ |  | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ |  | $b_{1}$ |  | 11 | 15 |

## Challenges and Ideas

>Under every constraint

## $\checkmark$ Constraint pruning

- In $C^{t, t^{\prime}}$, one comparison on $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ is enough

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## Challenges and Ideas

>Under every constraint

## $\checkmark$ Constraint pruning

- In $C^{t, t^{\prime}}$, one comparison on $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ is enough

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## Challenges and Ideas

Over every measure subspace
$\checkmark$ Sharing computation across measure subspaces

- Reusing computations on full space in subspaces

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## Challenges and Ideas

Over every measure subspace
$\checkmark$ Sharing computation across measure subspaces

- Reusing computations on full space in subspaces

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 |  |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 |  |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 |  |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 |  |$>$



## Our Algorithms

>Tuple reduction + Constraint pruning

- BottomUp
-TopDown
> Tuple reduction + Constraint pruning + Sharing computation
- SBottomUp
-STopDown


## BottomUp

>Stores a tuple for every such constraint that qualifies it as a contextual skyline tuple
$>$ Traverses the constraints in $C^{t}$ in a bottom-up, breadth-first manner

## BottomUp

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## BottomUp

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## BottomUp

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |
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## BottomUp

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## BottomUp

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## BottomUp

| id |  | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ |  | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{4}$ |  | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ |  | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## BottomUp

| id |  | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{2}$ |  | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{4}$ |  | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ |  | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## BottomUp

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 11 | 15 |



## BottomUp

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 11 | 15 |



6 comparisons in this case

## BottomUp

## >Cons of BottomUp

-Repetitive storage: space complexity
-Repetitive comparisons: time complexity

## TopDown stores a tuple for its maximal skyline constraints only.

## TopDown

## Skyline Constraints

## Constraints whose contextual skylines include $t$.

( | id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |

## TopDown

## Maximal Skyline Constraints

Constraints not subsumed by any other skyline constraints of $t$.

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |

## TopDown

## Maximal Skyline Constraints

Constraints not subsumed by any other skyline constraints of $t$.

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |

## TopDown

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## TopDown

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## TopDown

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |



## TopDown

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 11 | 15 |



## TopDown

| $\boldsymbol{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 11 | 15 |



## TopDown

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 11 | 15 |

3 comparisons in this case


## STopDown and SBottomUp

## >Con of BottomUp and TopDown

-Need to compute over every measure subspace separately $>$ STopDown and SBottomUp share computation across different subspaces

## STopDown

| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 | 15 |


| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ |  | 15 |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ |  | 10 |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ |  | 17 |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ |  | 20 |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ |  | 15 |



Comparison with $t_{4}$ is skipped
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## STopDown

| $\mathbf{i d}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  | 10 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | 15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |



| id | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{2}$ | 10 |  |
| $t_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 15 |  |
| $t_{3}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | 17 |  |
| $t_{4}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 20 |  |
| $t_{5}$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | 11 |  |



## Experiment Setup

$\square$ NBA Dataset

- 317,371 tuples of NBA box scores from 1991-2004 seasons
- 8 dimension attributes
- 7 measure attributes
$\square$ Weather Dataset
- 7.8 million tuples of weather forecast from different locations of six countries \& regions of UK
- 7 dimension attributes
- 7 measure attributes


## Memory-Based Implementation



- Maintaining CSC for each constraint causes overhead (Xia et al. SIGMOD 2006)
- Can't take advantage of constraint pruning


## Memory-Based Implementation



NBA Dataset


Weather Dataset
[. BottomUp/SBottomUp exhausted available JVM heap

- memory overflow
$\square$ TopDown / STopDown was outperformed by BottomUp/ SBottomUp
- Updating maximal skyline constraints causespmineat


## File-Based Implementation



$\square$ Each $(C, M)$ is stored in a binary file
$\square$ While traversing, file-read operation occurs if file is non-empty: FSTopDown encounters many empty files
$\square$ For updating, file-write operation occurs: FSTopDown stores fewer tuples
] I/O-cost dominates in-memory computation

## Discovered Facts

> Lamar Odom had 30 points, 19 rebounds and 11 assists on March 6, 2004. No one before had a better or equal performance in NBA history.
> Allen Iverson had 38 points and 16 assists on April 14, 2004 to become the first player with a 38/16 (points/assists) game in the 2004-2005 season.
$>$ Damon Stoudamire scored 54 points on January 14, 2005. It is the highest score in history made by any Trail Blazers.

Prominent Streak Discovery in Sequence Data. Xiao Jiang, Chengkai Li, Ping Luo, Min Wang, Yong Yu. KDD 2011, pages 1280-1288.

Discovering General Prominent Streaks in Sequence Data. Gensheng Zhang, Xiao Jiang, Ping Luo, Min Wang, Chengkai Li. ACM TKDD, 8(2):article 9, June 2014.

## Prominent Streaks

## Prominent streaks stated in news articles:

"This month the Chinese capital has experienced 10 days with a maximum temperature in around 35 degrees Celsius - the most for the month of July in a decade."
"The Nikkei 225 closed below 10000 for the 12th consecutive week, the longest such streak since June 2009."
"He (LeBron James) scored 35 or more points in nine consecutive games and joined Michael Jordan and Kobe Bryant as the only players since 1970 to accomplish the feat."

## Concepts <br> Streak

Input: a sequence of values
Streak $<[1, r], \mathrm{v}>$ is a triple: left-end ( 1 ), right-end ( r ), minimum value in interval $[1, r]$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
3 & 1 & 7 & 7 & 2 & \frac{5}{4} \quad 4 & 6 & 7 \\
<[6,8], 4> & & & &
\end{array}
$$

## Streak dominance relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{s} 1=<[11, \mathrm{r} 1], \mathrm{v} 1>\text { dominates } \mathrm{s} 2=<[12, \mathrm{r} 2], \mathrm{v} 2>\text { iff } \\
\mathrm{r} 1-\mathrm{ll}>\mathrm{r} 2-12, \mathrm{v} 1>=\mathrm{v} 2 \text { or } \mathrm{r} 1-\mathrm{ll}>=\mathrm{r} 2-12, \mathrm{v} 1>\mathrm{v} 2
\end{gathered}
$$

## Prominent streaks (PS)

A streak is prominent if it is not dominated by any other streaks.

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
3 & 1 & 7 & 7 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 3
\end{array}
$$



## Prominent Streaks are Skyline Points




## Tasks

## Task 1: discovery

Find all prominent streaks in a sequence

## Task 2: monitoring

Always keep prominent streaks up-to-date, when sequence grows (real-world sequences often grow)

## Solution Framework



## Candidate Generation: Number Of Candidates

## Brute-force

Quadratic

Superlinear


Linear

## Local Prominent Streak

## Local dominance relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
s 1=<[1, \mathrm{r} 1], \mathrm{v} 1>\text { locally dominates } \mathrm{s} 2=<[12, \mathrm{r} 2], \mathrm{v} 2>\text { iff } \\
\mathrm{s} 1 \text { dominates } \mathrm{s} 2 \text { and }[11, \mathrm{r} 1] \supset[12, \mathrm{r} 2]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Local prominent streak (LPS)

A streak is locally prominent if it is not locally dominated by any other streaks.


## Important Properties <br> (1) LPS is sufficient

A prominent streak must be an LPS.

## (2) LPS is small

The number of LPSs is less than or equal to the sequence length. (Hint: The number of LPSs getting min value at position k is at most 1.)

## Conclusion

LPS is an excellent set of candidate streaks, of linear size.
Candidate generation problem $=>$ finding local prominent streaks


## Linear LPS (LLPS) Method

Sequence $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{1}$.

1. Maintain a list of candidate streaks when scanning the sequence rightward.
2. After $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$, right-ends of candidates are all k .
3. At $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$, try to extend the candidates rightward.

Candidates s:
(3.a) s.v $<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ : extend.
(3.b) s.v $>\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ : belong to LPS.
(3.c) s.v $>=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ : extend the leftmost (longest) such s.
4. After pnall remaining candidates are LPS.


## Linear LPS (LLPS) Method

Candidates share the same right-end, their minimum values monotonically increase, if they are listed in the increasing order of left-ends.


## Linear LPS (LLPS) Method After $\mathrm{Pk}_{k}$, it has found:

All LPSs ending before k

Candidates ending at k either are LPSs or can be grown to LPSs ending after k .

## Monitoring (keeping prominent streaks up-to-date) is simple:

If PSs till k are requested, compare all found LPSs and all remaining candidates.

## Datasets In Experiments

| name | length | \# prominent streaks | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold | 1074 | 137 | Daily morning gold price in US dollars, 01/1985-03/1989. |
| River | 1400 | 93 | Mean daily flow of Saugeen River near Port Elgin, 01/1988-12/1991. |
| Melb1 | 3650 | 55 | The daily minimum temperature of Melbourne, Australia, 1981-1990. |
| Melb2 | 3650 | 58 | The daily maximum temperature of Melbourne, Australia, 1981-1990. |
| Wiki1 | 4896 | 58 | Hourly traffic to en.wikipedia. org/wiki/Main_page, 04/2010-10/2010. |
| Wiki2 | 4896 | 51 | Hourly traffic to en.wikipedia. org/wiki/Lady_gaga, 04/2010-10/2010. |
| Wiki3 | 4896 | 118 | Hourly traffic to en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inception__(film),04/2010-10/2010. |
| SP500 | 10136 | 497 | S\&P 500 index, 06/1960-06/2000. |
| HPQ | 12109 | 232 | Closing price of HPQ in NYSE for every trading day, 01/1962-02/2010. |
| IBM | 12109 | 198 | Closing price of IBM in NYSE for every trading day, 01/1962-02/2010. |
| AOL | 132480 | 127 | Number of queries sent to AOL search engine in every minute over three months. |
| WC98 | 7603201 | 286 | Number of requests to World Cup 98 web site in every second, 04/1998-07/1998. |



(a) Data Sequence ©2015 The University of Texas at Arlington. All Rights Reserved. $_{\text {(b) Prominent Streaks }}$

## Sample Prominent Streaks

 Melbourne daily min/max temperature between 1981 and 1990 (Melb1 \& Melb2)More than 2000 days with min temperature above zero 6 days: the longest streak above 35 degrees Celsius


## Traffic count of Wikipedia page of Lady Gaga (Wiki2)

More than half of the prominent streaks are around Sep. 12th (VMA 2010) at least 2000 hourly visits lasting for almost 4 days


## General Prominent Streaks

## Top-k, multi-dimensional and multi-sequence PS

"He (LeBron James) scored 35 or more points in nine consecutive games and joined Michael Jordan and Kobe Bryant as the only players since 1970 to accomplish the feat."
"Only player in NBA history to average at least 20 points, 10 rebounds and 5 assists per game for 6 consecutive seasons." (http:/ /en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kevin Garnett)

## NLPS/LLPS extended to such general PSs

## Experiments On Multi-Sequence PSs

Tab Table IX. Multi-sequence Prominent Streaks in Datast NBA.

| nlu |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |


| lempth | minimal value | pleywers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 71 | David Rabinsom |
| 2 | 51 | Allen Iversom; Antavrn Jeunisoun |
| 4 | 42 | Koobe Brywnmit |
| 9 | 40 | Exobre Bryant |
| 13 | 35 | Euolbe Brywamit. |
| 14 | 32 | Euble Bryant |
| 16 | 310 | Kooloe Brivamit |
| 17 | 27 | Michael sourdmit |
| 37 | 26 | Allen Iversoin |
| 34 | 24 | Iracy Muccirady |
| 45 | 21 | Allen Iversoun |
| 57 | 210 | Allen Iversoin |
| 74 | 19 | Shaquille (3) weall |
| 94 | 18 | Shaquaille Cowern |
| 96 | 17 | Exirl Minlome |
| 119 | 16 | ERifl Mratone |
| 149 | 15 | Eratl Mirlorie |
| 159 | 14 | Ebirl Minlone |
| 263 | 13 | Erifl Minlorie |
| 357 | 12 | Ebirl Minlone |
| 527 | 11 | Kuril Mralome |
| 575 | 10 | Enifl Minloñe |
| 758 | 7 | Eriml Mimlome |
| 858 | 6 | Shaquille Crwern |
| 866 | 2 | Erarl Mralome |
| 932 | 1 | wolni stockitin |
| 1185 | 0 | Jim Jtackescmin |

© 2015 The University of Texas at Arlington. All Rights Reserved.

## Experiments On Multi-Dim PSs

Table X. Data Sequences Used in Experiments on Multi-dimensional Prominent Streak Discovery.

| name | length | \# prominent streaks | \# dimensions | description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malone | 986 | 640 | 6 | $1991-2004$ <br> rebounds, assists, steals, blocks) |
|  |  |  |  |  |


(a) Number of Prominent Streaks

(b) Execution Time of LLPS

Fig. 13. Experiments on Increasing Dimensionality.

## Experiments On General PSs

Table XIII. Data Sequences Used in Experiments on Top-5 Multi-sequence Multi-dimensional Prominent Streak Discovery

| name | \# sequences | average length | \# dimensions | \# prominent streaks | description |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBA2 | 1185 | 290 | 6 | 10867 | 1991-2004 game log of all N- |
|  |  |  |  | BA players (minutes, points, re- |  |
|  |  |  |  | bounds, assists, steals, blocks) |  |

Table XIV. Number of Candidate Streaks, Top-5 Multi-sequence Multi-dimensional Prominent Streak Discovery

| name | Baseline | NLPS | LLPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBA2 | $9.41 \times 10^{7}$ | $2.98 \times 10^{6}$ | $8.76 \times 10^{5}$ |

Table XV. Execution Time (in Milliseconds), Top-5 Multi-sequence Multi-dimensional Prominent Streak Discovery.

| name | Baseline | NLPS | LLPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NBA2 | $1.39 \times 10^{7}$ | $4.33 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.14 \times 10^{5}$ |



Fig. 14. Distribution of Prominent Streaks by Length.
 © 2015 The University of Texas at Arlington. All Rights Reserved.
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## Thank You! Questions?

o http://ranger.uta.edu/~cli http://idir.uta.edu cli@uta.edu
o Demos
ClaimBuster idir.uta.edu/claimbuster
FactWatcher idir.uta.edu/factwatcher

o Please help us label the data
http://bit.ly/claimbusters

