Discrete Structures CSE 2315 (Spring 2014)

Lecture 8 Predicate Logic Proof Sequence Heng Huang, Ph.D. Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Universal Instantiation

- From (∀x)P(x), you can conclude P(t), where t is any constant or variable.
- Rule is abbreviated as u.i.
- If t is a variable, it must not fall within the scope of a quantifier for t. For instance, from (∀x)(∃y)P(x, y), you cannot conclude (∃y)P(y, y). (Domain of integers).
- Let us prove that the following argument is valid, using ui.

$$[(\forall x)[H(x) \rightarrow M(x)] \land H(s)] \rightarrow M(s)$$

Existential Instantiation

- From $(\exists x)P(x)$, you can conclude P(a), where a is a constant symbol not used previously in the proof sequence.
- Rule is abbreviated as e.i.
- Must be the first rule that introduces a.
- Example

Show that $[(\forall x)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \land (\exists y)P(y)] \rightarrow (\exists y)Q(y)$ is valid.

Universal Generalization

- From P(x), you can conclude $(\forall x)P(x)$.
- Rule is abbreviated as u.g.
- P(x) has not been deduced from a hypothesis in which x is a free variable. Also, P(x) has not been deduced using e.i.
- Example

Show that the following argument is valid.

 $[(\forall x)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \land (\forall x)P(x)] \rightarrow (\forall x)Q(x)$

Existential Generalization

- From P(x) or P(a), you can conclude $(\exists x)P(x)$.
- Rule is abbreviated as e.g.
- To go from P(a) to $(\exists x)P(x)$, x must not appear in P(a). Otherwise, we could derive $(\exists y)P(y, y)$ from P(a, y)! The argument P(a, y) \rightarrow $(\exists y)P(y, y)$ is simply not valid. (Why?)
- Some more examples

 $(\forall x)[P(x) \land Q(x)] \rightarrow (\forall x)P(x) \land (\forall x)Q(x).$ $[(\forall y)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, y)]] \rightarrow [P(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)Q(x, y)].$ $[P(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)Q(x, y)] \rightarrow (\forall y)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, y)].$

Two Laws

- Negation
 - $[(\exists x)A(x)]' \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)[A(x)]'$ $- [(\forall x)A(x)]' \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)[A(x)]'$
- The proof of the negation rules are tedious and I do not expect you to know them. Feel free to use the above two rules as and when you need them, without proof.
- One more example

Establish the validity of the following statement:

 $(\forall x)[P(x) \lor Q(x)] \rightarrow [(\exists x)P(x) \lor (\forall x)Q(x)]$

Spring 2014

Heng Huang

A Verbal Argument

• Every microcomputer has a serial interface port. Some microcomputers have a parallel port. Therefore, some microcomputers have both a serial and a parallel port. Symbolically,

 $[(\forall x)[M(x) \to S(x)] \land (\exists x)[M(x) \land P(x)]] \to (\exists x)[M(x) \land S(x) \land P(x)]$