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Virtualization

• A powerful abstraction that transforms a physical resource into a more general, easy-to-use virtual form

  ✓ Workload consolidation
  ✓ Fault isolation
  ✓ Service migration

• Ubiquitous in modern IT management

  ✓ Java virtual machine (JVM), container, and full-fledged virtual machine (VM)

“A program running in virtualized environments should exhibit a behavior essentially identical to that in physical environments.”

-- [Popek and Goldberg’74]
An Inherent Semantic Gap

- Virtualization presents the illusion of **dedicated, continuous** hardware, but operates on **shared, discontinuous** resources.

- Time is discontinuous in multi-tenant systems
  - VMs with capped CPU capacity account for **40%** Amazon EC2 usage.
  - CPU multiplexing in public and private clouds.

**VM's perception of time passage:**
- discontinuous; clock reading jumps with intermittent gaps between each run.

**Resource management designed for physical systems can be ineffective in virtualized OSes with discontinuous time.**
I/O prioritization

• An important OS design to improve system responsiveness without compromising throughput.

• I/O prioritization relies on two mechanisms
  ✓ The identification of I/O-bound tasks
  ✓ The preemption of CPU-bound tasks

• User-configured priority -- **static priority**
  ✓ Real-time vs. normal priorities, e.g., SCHED_RR vs. SCHED_OTHER

• Linux completely fair scheduler (CFS) -- **dynamic priority**
  ✓ uses **virtual runtime** (vruntime) to track how much time a task has run on CPU
  ✓ prioritizes tasks with smaller vruntimes ("I/O-bound") over those with larger vruntimes ("CPU-bound")
Violation of I/O Prioritization

- I/O task always has a higher priority

Throughput (Gbps)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KVM</th>
<th>Xen</th>
<th>Docker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I/O only</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/O + CPU</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous time
Violation of I/O Prioritization
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I/O task always has a higher priority
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Why I/O prioritization is not effective?
Short-term Priority Inversion

- Time discontinuity may cause inaccurate CPU accounting in the guest OS
Long-term Priority Inversion

I/O task’s 30% CPU demand is fully satisfied. Thus, I/O performance is not degraded.
Long-term Priority Inversion

The CPU allocation to the I/O task is significantly reduced.
Long-term Priority Inversion

100% capacity

50% capacity

I/O requests  Compute task  Unavailable

Work-conserving scheduling in the guest OS allows compute-bound tasks to use the CPU that can be used by I/O-bound tasks in the future under constrained resource allocation.
## Preserving Long-term Priority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority violation</th>
<th>15%</th>
<th>35%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preserving static priority</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserving dynamic priority</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **I/O task**
- **Compute task**
xBALLOON

- Two clocks
- A CPU balloon process
- A semi-work-conserving (SWC) scheduling algorithm
Two Clocks

• Maintain two clocks in the guest OS

  ✓ **Global clock** - synchronized with wall-clock time

  ✓ **Virtual clock** - only ticks when the VM is running. In-guest scheduling uses this clock

[KVM]

Guest OS is made aware of time discontinuity to address short-term priority inversion
The CPU Balloon Process

• Inspired by memory ballooning
  ✓ The size of the memory balloon represents the memory taken away from the VM

• The runtime of the CPU balloon process represents the amount of time guest OS reserves for the future

Whenever the balloon process runs, it suspends the VM. The VM is woken up upon receiving an I/O request
Semi-Work-Conserving Scheduling

① Accounting starts
② More than enough reservation, balloon sleeps
③ New accounting starts and balloon wakes up

Non-work-conserving (NWC)  Work-conserving (WC)

I/O task
Balloon
Compute task

NWC: balloon is running, reserving CPU for future
WC: balloon is suspended, all tasks are free to run
Improving I/O performance

xBaloon achieves performance close to the I/O only case

Most performance gain is due to the prevention of long-term priority inversion
Results on Amazon EC2

• A brute-force implementation of xBalloons on an m3.medium instance
  ✓ SCHEDOP_block to suspend VM
  ✓ No semi-work-conserving mode due to no access to the hypervisor

Wiser scheduling decisions in the guest OS can greatly improve I/O latency in public clouds.
Conclusion

Motivation
Time discontinuity due to CPU multiplexing or capping can render I/O prioritization in the guest ineffective, leading to I/O performance loss and unpredictability.

xBalloon
A lightweight approach to preserving static and dynamic priorities between I/O- and compute-bound tasks under discontinuity.

Evaluation
Results in a local environment and Amazon EC2 show that xBalloon improves I/O performance in both network throughput and tail latency.
Thank you!

Questions?
Backup Slides ...
How SWC Scheduling Works

100% capacity

50% capacity

SWC

I/O requests + Compute task + Balloon = Unavailable
Q: What if workload is CPU-intensive and I/O-intensive at the same time?

A: If the I/O workload contains non-negligible CPU processing, it may not be wise to place it with another CPU-bound workload as the aggregate CPU demand of the two workloads could be well above the CPU capacity.

The CPU utilization of some workloads, such as memcached, will increase as more user requests sent to the server side. However, they can still benefit from xBalloon if user activities drop and become less CPU-bound and more I/O-bound.
Q: The overhead of xBalloon?

A: xBalloon’s overhead includes the time spent in user space, in the guest kernel, and inside Xen. As the balloon is woken up by I/O events, the frequency of xBalloon invocation depends on the intensity of the I/O workload. Our results show that in most cases xBalloon’s overhead is negligible.
The Balloon Process

```c
void balloon (void)
{
    int ret;
    while(1)
    {
        ret = syscall(__NR_sched_balloon);
        if (ret)sched_yield();
    }
}
```
Priority Inversions in Containers

• As long as the I/O task and the compute task share limited resource allocations, e.g., belonging to the same cgroup, long-term priority inversion could happen
Putting It Together

**Simplicity:**
balloon easy to disable

**Minimal intrusiveness:**
one tweak in CFS and one new mechanism in Xen

**Autonomy:**
Guest OS has total control, no optimization at Xen
Evaluation

**Hardware**

DELL PowerEdge T420 servers, two six-core Intel Xeon E5-2410 1.9GHz processors, 32GB memory, one Gigabit Network card and a 1TB 7200RPM SATA hard disk.

**Software**

Linux 3.18.21 as the guest and dom0 OS, and Xen 4.5.0 as the hypervisor.

Benchmark: netperf, sockperf, memcached, Nginx, MySQL, etc.

**Configuration**

VMs were configured with one vCPU (four vCPUs) and 4GB memory.

Simulate the time discontinuity through CPU capping and CPU sharing.
Impact on Compute tasks

xBalloon deprives the compute task of CPU when the cap is lower than the I/O demand.

xBalloon allows the compute task to use slack CPU resources when CPU cap increases.

When CPU cap is 20%, I/O task : Compute task = 10:10

When CPU cap is 80%, I/O task : Compute task = 30:50
SPI only hurts I/O workload

I/O-intensive app stops running for a long time when its vruntime dilates
SPI only hurts I/O workload

CPU-intensive app keeps running for a long time when its vruntime dilates
Improve the I/O performance

The throughput keeps the same when the number of CPU-intensive apps increases.

The throughput decreases as the number of CPU-intensive apps increases.

Sockperf throughput under static priority

Sockperf throughput under dynamic priority

Throughput (Mb/s)

Number of CPU-intensive workload
Improve the I/O performance

Stealclock is not effective to I/O task in static priority while xBalloon is.

xBALLOON improves the 99% tail latency by 71%.
Violation of I/O Prioritization

- Virtualization and multi-tenancy do not preserve the property of I/O prioritization
- I/O tasks are affected by compute-bound tasks with significantly degraded and wildly unpredictable performance