CSE 3302-001: Programming Languages

	Spring 2013: TR 11:00 a.m 12:20 p.m., Woolf Hall 308					
	Bob Weems, Associate Professor 627 ERB (weems@uta.edu,http://ranger.uta.edu/~weems) TR 9:30-10:45 a.m.					
GT	A: Information will be posted on web page					
Prerequisito	es: O-O programming (CSE 1325) Algorithms & Data Structures (CSE 2320)					
Objective	es: In future design situations, students will be capable of considering programming language issues.					
Outcome	 Understanding of programming language paradigms, including imperative, functional, and object-oriented. Understanding of the breadth of design issues in defining programming lanuages, along with those for building compilers, interpreters, and run-time systems. Understanding, at an elementary level, of the formalisms and notations used with programming languages. 					
Textbool	R.W. Sebesta, Concepts of Programming Languages, 10th ed., Addison-Wesley, 2012.					
	D. Crockford, JavaScript: The Good Parts, Yahoo Press, 2008.					
	D.P. Friedman, et.al., The Little Schemer, 4th ed., MIT Press, 1995.					
	N. Wirth, <i>PASCAL -S: A Subset and its Implementation</i> , ETH Technical Report 12, 1975 (available from webpage).					
Reference	es: Links on the course webpage.					
Reading	gs: Indicated on calendar later in syllabus.					
Grad	Based on the following weights:					
	Exams 1-3: 70% divided evenly among 3 exams. Exam 3: Tuesday, May 7, 11:00-1:30					
	Programs: 30% divided evenly among six assignments.					

Policies:

- 1. Regular attendance is expected. You are expected to know lecture contents and announcements. I reserve the right to have surprise quizzes, each quiz being 2% of the semester grade taken from the 70% allocated to exams.
- 2. The course web page is http://ranger.uta.edu/~weems/NOTES3302/cse3302.html.
- 3. You are expected to have read the assigned readings by the specified date. Lectures will review and augment the material, but will also consider exercises from the book.
- 4. CHEATING YOU ARE EXPECTED TO KNOW UNIVERSITY POLICIES. If you are suspected of cheating, the matter must go through university channels outside of the CSE Department.
 - a. Academic Integrity Policy: It is the policy of the University of Texas at Arlington to uphold and support standards of personal honesty and integrity for all students consistent with the goals of a community of scholars and students seeking knowledge and truth. Furthermore, it is the policy of the University to enforce these standards through fair and objective procedures governing instances of alleged dishonesty, cheating, and other academic/non-academic misconduct.

You can assume responsibility in two ways. **First**, if you choose to take the risk associated with scholastic dishonesty and any other violation of the Code of Student Conduct and Discipline, you must assume responsibility for your behaviors and accept the consequences. In an academic community, the standards for integrity are high. **Second**, if you are aware of scholastic dishonesty and any other conduct violations on the part of others, you have the responsibility to report it to the professor or assistant dean of students/director of student judicial affairs. The decision to do so is another moral dilemna to be faced as you define who you are. Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and dismissal from the University. Since dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced.

- b. **Statement on Ethics, Professionalism, and Conduct of Engineering Students**: The statement is attached. Failure to sign the statement will result in 1) programming assignments to not be accepted, i.e. late penalty and 2) failure on exams.
- 5. Any request for special consideration must be appropriately documented <u>in advance</u>. (Special consideration does not include giving a higher grade than has been earned.)
- 6. Late programs are penalized according to the following schedule. LABS ARE DUE AT 10:45 AM ON THE DUE DATE, NOT MIDNIGHT. After the due time, assistance will not be provided.

Degree of lateness	<u>Penalty</u>
Up to 10:45 next day	5 pts
Up to 10:45 two days	15 pts
Up to 10:45 three days	30 pts
Up to 10:45 four days	60 pts

7. Each lab is graded as follows:

Some Issues

a.	Output/Code	70%	If you know your program has problems, you should let the GTA know what parts are functional. Test cases demonstrating the limited functionality are useful.
b.	Style	15%	The emphasized language features are applied appropriately.
c.	Structure	15%	Code is not unnecessarily complicated or long. It is often better to rewrite code rather than patching several times.

You are responsible for correctly sending each programming assignment to the GTA as an attachment. (cc: yourself)

Points will not be awarded for programs that are not substantially complete.

8. GTA duties:

a. Provide first-level of assistance for programs.b. Grade programs and short-answer test problems.

- 9. Instructor duties:
 - a. Lecture.
 - b. Guidance
 - c. Tests preparation and grading long-answer test problems.
 - d. Special consideration.
 - e. Design homework and programming assignments.
- 10. If you require a reasonable accomodation for a disability, please contact me no later than the second week of this semester. Further details are available at http://www.uta.edu/disability.
- 11. Occasional class-wide email messages (e.g. weather situations, clarifications) may be sent to the addresses recorded by MyMav. Messages will also be archived on the course web page.

Course Content (in chronological order)

Reading Annotations: J = Crockford, P = Wirth, S = Friedman

- 1. Preliminaries. 1, 2.4, 2.5, 2.11, 2.12, 2.15, 2.16, 2.17, 2.18
- 2. Three New Friends. 15.1-15.5; J: 1, 10; P: 1-3; S: 1-4
- 3. Syntax & Semantics. 3.1-3.4, 3.5.1, 3.5.2; J: 2, D; P: A
- 4. Lexical & Syntax Analysis. 4; J: 7; P: 5
- 5. Names, Bindings, and Scopes. 5 (no 5.5.5, 5.5.6)

Exam 1: Topics 1.-5.

6. Data Types. 6; J: 3-6; S: 5-7
7. Expressions & Assignment. 7; S: 8
8. Statement-Level Control Structures. 8
Exam 2: Topics 6.-8.

9. Subprograms. 9
10. Implementing Subprograms. 10 (no 10.6); P: 4
11. Functional Programming. 15.7, 15.8; S: 9-10
12. Exceptions & Events. 14.1, 14.5
13. Abstraction & Object Orientation. 11.1-11.3, 12.1-12.3
Exam 3: Items 9.-13.

Calendar - with topic numbers from course content

Calendar - with subject numbers from course content

		January				February				March			
,		Syllabus 2. 2.	19 26 31	2.	5 12 19 26		7 14 21 28	6.	5 12 19 26		7 14 21 28	BREAK	
		April				May							
,	2	9.	4	Exam 2			2						

9.	4	Exam 2			2
9.	11	10.	7	Exam 3	
10.	18	11.			
11.	25	12.			
13.					
	9. 10. 11.	9.1110.1811.25	9. 11 10. 10. 18 11. 11. 25 12.	9. 11 10. 7 10. 18 11. 11. 25 12.	9. 11 10. 7 Exam 3 10. 18 11. 11. 25 12.

March 29 is the last day to drop

Statement of Ethics Student Confirmation (CSE 3302, Spring 2013)

The following is an excerpt from the College of Engineering's statement on Ethics, Professionalism, and Conduct of Engineering Students. The notes are modifications appropriate for Computer Science and Engineering courses. Read the statement carefully, sign it, and return it to your instructor. Additional copies of this statement can be obtained from your instructor or the Computer Science and Engineering office.

Statement on Ethics, Professionalism, and Conduct of Engineering Students College of Engineering The University of Texas at Arlington

The College cannot and will not tolerate any form of academic dishonesty by its students. This includes, but is not limited to 1) cheating on examination, 2) plagiarism, or 3) collusion.

Definitions:

A. Cheating on an examination includes:

- 1. Copying from another's paper, any means of communication with another during an examination, giving aid to or receiving aid from another during an examination;
- 2. Using any material during an examination that is unauthorized by the proctor;
- 3. Taking or attempting to take an examination for another student or allowing another student to take or attempt to take an examination for oneself.
- 4. Using, obtaining, or attempting to obtain by any means the whole or any part of an unadministered examination.
- B. Plagiarism is the unacknowledged incorporation of another's work into work which the student offers for credit.
- C. Collusion is the unauthorized collaboration of another in preparing work that a student offers for credit.
- D. Other types of academic dishonesty include using other student's printouts from the ACS labs or students' disk, etc.
 - 1. The <u>use of the source code of another person's program</u>, even temporarily, is considered **plagiarism**.
 - 2. <u>Allowing another person to use your source code</u>, even temporarily, is considered **collusion**.
 - 3. <u>Use of another person's source code with your modification</u> is considered **plagiarism**.
 - 4. <u>Taking material verbatim (without quoting the source) for reports and/or presentations</u> is considered plagiarism
 - 5. For this class, the specific exceptions given below are <u>not</u> considered scholastically dishonest acts: Discussion of the algorithm and general programming techniques used to solve a problem

The penalty assessed for cheating on a given assignment will be twice the weight of the assignment and will include notification of the proper authorities as stipulated in the **UTA Handbook of Operating Procedures** and on the web at *http://www.uta.edu/studentaffairs/conduct/homedisci.html*

You may be entitled to know what information UT Arlington (UTA) collects concerning you. You may review and have UTA correct this information according to procedures set forth in UT System BPM #32. The law is found in sections 552.021, 552.023 and 559.004 of the Texas Government Code.

I have read and I understand the above statement.

Student's signature:

Student's name (printed):

Student's ID number: