CSE 5311 Notes 12: Maximum Flows

Goldberg and Tarjan, `http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2632661.2628036`

APPLICATIONS

Bipartite Matching (unweighted)

Transportation/Communications Modeling

Connectivity

Vertex - Minimum number of vertices to remove to disconnect graph

Edge - Minimum number of edges to remove to disconnect graph

These cannot exceed the degree of any vertex.

Edge connectivity - undirected

Try every pair of vertices - one as source, one as sink. (Reversing roles is unnecessary.)

Minimum max-flow over all such pairs is the edge connectivity.

Can speed up by using a fixed source, a vertex of minimum degree ($\leq 2E/V$), along with $V-1$ instances with each of the other vertices as the sink. If Edmonds-Karp is used, the total time is in $O\left(E^2\right)$ since the number of augmenting paths for each instance is bounded by $2E/V$ and each A.P. is found using breadth-first search taking $O(E)$.

Vertex connectivity - undirected

Replace (u) by (u') —1/→ (u")

Replace (u) —— (v) by

(u') ←1/— (v")

(v') ←1/— (u")

Try every non-adjacent pair (x", y') as (source, sink) for max-flow. (Again, reversing roles is unnecessary)

Minimum max-flow over all non-adjacent pairs is the vertex connectivity.

FORD-FULKERSON (review)

Network Flow Concepts:

    Maximum Flow

   Goal

        Constraint

   Augmenting Path

    Residual Graph

Example:

Initial residual network:

15/
0/
0/ 12/
0/
4/
0/
0/
7/
3/
0/
0/
5/
10/
0/
0/
10/

s, a, b, c, d, t

A.P. s, a, b, t / 7 units

15/7
0/-7
0/-7 12/7
0/
4/
0/
0/
7/7
3/
0/
0/
5/
10/
0/
0/
10/

A.P. s, c, d, t / 4 units

15/7
0/-7
0/-7 12/7
0/
0/-4 4/4
0/
7/7
3/
0/-7
0/-4
5/
10/4
0/-4
0/-4
10/4

A.P. s, a, b, c, d, t / 3 units

15/10
0/-10
0/-10 12/10
0/
0/-4 4/4
0/-3
7/7
3/3
0/-7
0/-7
5/
10/7
0/-7
0/-7
10/7

Depth-first search on the residual network yields the cut S = {s, a, b} T= {t, c, d} with capacity 14.

More Concepts:

　　　Termination?

　　　Minimum Cut:

　　　　　S:　　Vertices reachable from source in residual graph

　　　　　T:　　V - S

　　　Capacity of minimum cut = maximum flow

FORD-FULKERSON: Choosing an augmenting path

1. Breadth-First Search (Edmonds-Karp variant) - take an A.P. with smallest number of edges (takes $O(E)$ time to find one)

2. Maximum Capacity Path (MCP) - find an A.P. that maximizes incremental flow

    Found using algorithm similar to Dijkstra (shortest path) and Prim (minimum spanning tree).

    Algorithm uses max heap. (Fibonacci heap version takes $O(E + V\log V)$ time)

How many augmenting paths for each method?

Edmonds-Karp - $O(VE)$ A.P.s to give overall $O(VE^2)$ time.

Critical edge on A.P.

> Limits flow on chosen A.P.

> Based on min { capacity – flow }

> No capacity will remain on a critical edge after A.P. is recorded

Observations

> Edge may become critical several times.

> A vertex cannot get closer to source in later rounds of BFS. (Appendix to CSE 2320 Notes 15)

> Flow must be sent in opposite direction by another A.P. before an edge can become critical again.

First time critical

Dist i   Dist i+1

Later

Dist ≥ i+2   Dist ≥ i+1

Second time critical


$$\text{Dist} \geq i+2 \qquad \text{Dist} \geq i+3$$

The number of distances available for the tail of a repeated critical edge is $(V\text{-}2)/2$. The number of edges that become critical is $\leq E$. Thus, $O(VE)$ A.P.s overall.

Maximum Capacity Augmenting Paths

All capacities integral. M = maximum capacity over all edges

NOTE! The sequence of MCP paths is *not* required to have incremental flows in descending order!

Flow Decomposition Theorem: Any flow f (maximum or otherwise) can be decomposed into no more than E augmenting paths.

Constructive Proof:

A. Use DFS to find cycles (e.g. back edges) in flow graph

    1. Determine smallest flow in cycle.

    2. Cancel smallest flow along all edges in cycle.

    3. Repeat until flow graph is *acyclic*.

B. Find S-T paths

    1. Determine smallest flow on path.

    2. Cancel smallest flow throughout path. (Flow conservation and acyclicity guarantees this.)

    Each edge may only be cancelled *once*.

Corollary: At least one of the paths in the decomposition contributes no less than $\dfrac{f}{E}$ units of flow.

Claim: The first A.P. found (by MCP) must carry at least $\dfrac{f}{E}$ units of flow.

Proof: Since the first A.P. found may only go "forward" on input edges, the path must be at least as good as any path in the flow decomposition.

Generalization: After each MCP is found, the effect is to have a new flow problem where the claim again applies. After k MCPs, the amount of remaining flow to find is no more than:

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{E}\right)^{k} f$$

but, due to integral capacities/flows, this amount cannot be < 1.

Unfortunately, this leads to dependence on the eventual maximum flow in bounding the number of A.P.s:

$$k \approx \frac{\ln f}{\ln\dfrac{1}{1-\dfrac{1}{E}}} \approx E \ln f \text{ since } \ln\frac{1}{1-\dfrac{1}{E}} = \ln\frac{E}{E-1} \approx \frac{1}{E}$$

SCALING (aside) - does not choose the MCP every time

Set Δ to 2^k where $k = \left\lceil \log_2 \max_{v \in V}\{cap(s,v)\} \right\rceil$

while $\Delta \geq 1$
       Search residual network for an A.P. that will increase flow by *at least* Δ.
   if A.P. exists
              Record A.P. in residual network
       else
              $\Delta = \Delta / 2$

PUSH/RELABEL METHODS (concepts only)


Conservation of flow - only at termination

Vertex status:

      Excess - temporary flow tank

      Height - controls movement of flow (source height is always V, sink height is always 0)

Will label vertices with height/excess

Example:

Operations - Lift & Push

Lift a vertex

1. Vertex has excess > 0 ("overflowing").

2. All exiting *unsaturated* edges have heads with height ≥ height of tail.

Change height on tail to 1 + minimum height on a head.

Example:

Lift at A from 6 to 7.

Push from u to v

1. u is overflowing.

2. (u, v) has unused capacity.

3. height[u] == height[v] + 1

Push the minimum of the unused capacity and the excess at u.

Example 1:

```
a.out < ppExample1.dat              debug: pushing 4 units from 4 to 5
debug: lifting 1 from 0 to 1        debug: lifting 3 from 1 to 2
debug: pushing 12 units from 1 to 2 debug: pushing 3 units from 3 to 4
debug: lifting 1 from 1 to 7        debug: pushing 2 units from 1 to 0
debug: pushing 3 units from 1 to 0  debug: pushing 3 units from 4 to 5
debug: lifting 3 from 0 to 1        total flow is 14
debug: pushing 4 units from 3 to 4  flows along edges:
debug: lifting 2 from 0 to 1        0->1 has 10
debug: pushing 7 units from 2 to 5  0->3 has 4
debug: lifting 2 from 1 to 2        1->2 has 10
debug: pushing 3 units from 2 to 3  2->3 has 3
debug: lifting 2 from 2 to 8        2->5 has 7
debug: pushing 2 units from 2 to 1  3->4 has 7
debug: lifting 4 from 0 to 1        4->5 has 7
```

Example 2:

Example 3:

Implementation - Must avoid scanning of vertices and adjacency lists (especially in dense graphs).

1. Maintain queue of overflowing vertices:

   a. by initialization

   b. by pushes

2. For each vertex, separate edges:

   a. saturated (flow = capacity)

   b. unsaturated (flow < capacity, includes inverses)

3. Processing - driven by queue.

```c
int preflowPushLoop()
{
int i,j,k,dF,minHeight;
int *fifo,*inQueue,tail=0,head=0;

. . .

while (tail!=head)
{
  i=fifo[head];
  head=(head==n) ? 0 : head+1;
  inQueue[i]=0;
  while (1)
  {
    minHeight=oo;
    for (k=firstEdge[i];k<firstEdge[i+1];k++)
    {
      j=edgeTab[k].head;
      if (edgeTab[k].capacity-edgeTab[k].flow>0)
        if (height[i]==height[j]+1)
        {
          dF=min(excessFlow[i],edgeTab[k].capacity-edgeTab[k].flow);
          //printf("debug: pushing %d units from %d to %d\n",dF,i,j);
          edgeTab[k].flow+=dF;
          edgeTab[edgeTab[k].inverse].flow=(-edgeTab[k].flow);
          excessFlow[i]-=dF;
          excessFlow[j]+=dF;
          if (!inQueue[j])
          {
            fifo[tail]=j;
            tail=(tail==n) ? 0 : tail+1;
            inQueue[j]=1;
          }
          if (excessFlow[i]==0)
            break;
        }
        else if (height[j]<minHeight)
          minHeight=height[j];
    }
    if (excessFlow[i]>0)
    {
      //printf("debug: lifting %d from %d to %d\n", i,height[i],1+minHeight);
      height[i]=1+minHeight;
    }
    else
      break;
  }
}
free(fifo);
free(inQueue);
return excessFlow[n-1];
}
```

Correctness:

"Augmenting paths" are found by having paths with vertices with decreasing heights.

Excess is not stranded - must reach sink or return to source.

Maximum height is _____.

Lift is designed to allow excess to move to alternate paths. Try:

Time: $O\left(V^2 E\right)$ (Not responsible for details)

Can improve vertex processing order to achieve $O\left(V^3\right)$.

Can speed up in practice by occasionally using BFS to increase height of some vertices (also see CLRS problem 26.5-5).

Consider graph whose edges are the *inverses* of the unsaturated edges:

1. BFS starting from sink. Distance from sink is new height.

2. BFS for vertices not reached by first BFS (can flag these by initializing new heights to $2V$) starting from source. Distance from source + V is new height.

First BFS makes it easier to find "paths". Second BFS forces excess to return quickly to source.