

Time Complexity

Loops

CSE 3318 – Algorithms and Data Structures
Alexandra Stefan

University of Texas at Arlington

CLRS - reference

- Book reference subchapters (the first number is the chapter number):
 - 1.2 Efficiency
 - Problem 1-1
 - See the pseudocode conventions in 2.1
 - In 2.2 see section “Order of growth”.
 - 2.1 covers Insertion sort and discusses detailed instruction count part of that. You can revisit this subchapter after we talk about insertion sort.
 - 2.3 we will cover later on.

(CLRS 3rd edition)

Motivation for Big-Oh Notation

- Given an algorithm, we want to find a function that describes the *time performance of the algorithm*.
- Computing the number of instructions in detail is NOT desired:
 - It is complicated and the details are not important
 - The number of machine instructions and runtime depend on factors other than the algorithm:
 - Programming language
 - Compiler optimizations
 - Performance of the computer it runs on (CPU, memory)(There are some details that we would actually **NOT** want this function to include, because they can make a function unnecessarily complicated.)
- When comparing two algorithms we want to see which one is better for very large data. This is called the *asymptotic behavior*
 - It is not important what happens for small size data.
 - *Asymptotic behavior = rate of growth = order of growth*
- The **Big-Oh notation** describes the asymptotic behavior and greatly simplifies algorithmic analysis.

Starting a business?

- Facebook: more than 2.07 billion monthly active users
- Assume:
 - If you start a business that has the potential to grow this much, and
 - Currently you have 30 users
 - You need to buy software and have 2 offers:
 - N^2
 - $1000N$, also a bit more expensive
 - Switching from one software to the other later on, is undesired (disruption of service, uncertainty, increased cost ...)
- Which one do you choose?

Comparing growth of functions

- Comparing **linear**, **$N \lg N$** , and **quadratic complexity**.

N	$N \lg N$	N^2
10^6 (1 million)	\approx 20 million	10^{12} (one trillion)
10^9 (1 billion)	\approx 30 billion	10^{18} (one quintillion)
10^{12} (1 trillion)	\approx 40 trillion	10^{24} (one septillion)

- Quadratic time algorithms become impractical (too slow) much faster than linear and **$N \lg N$** algorithms.
- Of course, what we consider "impractical" depends on the application.
 - Some applications are more tolerant of longer running times.

N	$\lg N$
1000	\approx 10
$10^6 = 1000^2$	\approx $2 * 10$
$10^9 = 1000^3$	\approx $3 * 10$
$10^{12} = 1000^4$	\approx $4 * 10$

Θ (Theta) made simple

- Detailed instructions counts for many algorithms are polynomial functions. To make calculations simple while still keeping relevant information we will only look at the dominant term for such functions.
- For any function we look at the 'fastest growing term' or the **dominant term**.
 - E.g. for $f(n) = 15n^3 + 7n^2 + 3n + 20$, the dominant term is $15n^3$.
 - Functions of multiple variables may have more than one dominant term!
Consider $f(n,m) = 27n^4m + 6n^3 + 7nm^2 + 100$
(Ask yourself: What if m is small and n is large? What if n is small and m is large?)
- Use Θ , Theta, for the dominant term but without the constant.
 - This is a oversimplification of Θ . We will study Θ formally in future lectures.
- Notation: **$f(n) = \Theta(n^2)$**
 - if the dominant term of $f(n)$ is n^2 .
- Given a function, e.g. $f(n) = 15n^3 + 7n^2 + 3n + 20$, find Theta:
 - find the dominant term: $15n^3$
 - remove the constant: n^3
 - **$f(n) = \Theta(n^3)$**

Function Call Inside Loop

The time complexity for a function call is NOT 1, but the complexity derived from its code.

Assume that `void foo(int n)` has time complexity:

$$T_{\text{foo}}(n) = \Theta(n)$$

// Example 1. Independent loops =>

// $T(n) = n * \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^2)$

```
for (i = 1; i <= n; i++)
    foo(n);
```

$\xrightarrow{\quad} n$
 $\xrightarrow{\quad} \Theta(n), \text{ use } n$

$\Theta(n^2)$

// Example 2. Dependent loops. MUST use table method:

// => $T(n) = \Theta(n) + \Theta(n-1) + \dots + \Theta(2) + \Theta(1) = \Theta(n^2)$

```
for (i = 1; i <= n; i++)
    foo(i); // -->  $\Theta(i)$ 
```

Steps (for example 2):

- Table
- Summation (based on table)
- Closed form
- Dominant term:
- Θ (Theta): $\Theta(n^2)$

If `foo(i)` has $\Theta(i)$,
what is Θ for `foo(0)`?

Table for Example 2

i	foo(i) takes:	Simplify to
1	$\Theta(1)$	1
2	$\Theta(2)$	2
...
i	$\Theta(i)$	i
...
n-1	$\Theta(n-1)$	n-1
n	$\Theta(n)$	n

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n i = 1 + 2 + \dots + i + \dots + n \\
 &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{n^2 + n}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Insertion Sort Time Complexity

i	Inner loop time complexity:		
	Best : 1	Worst: i	Average: i/2
1	1	1	1/2
2	1	2	2/2
...	...		
N-2	1	N-2	(N-2)/2
N-1	1	N-1	(N-1)/2
Total	(N-1)	$[N * (N-1)]/2$	$[N * (N-1)]/4$
Order of magnitude	N	N²	N²
Data that produces it.	Sorted	Sorted in reverse order	Random data

Insertion sort is adaptive

=> **O(N²)**

'Total' instructions in worst case:

$$T(N) = (N-1) + (N-2) + \dots + 2 + 1 =$$

$$= [N * (N-1)]/2 \rightarrow \text{N}^2 \text{ order of magnitude}$$

Note that the N² came from the summation, NOT because 'there is an N in the inner loop' (NOT because N * N).

See the Khan Academy for a discussion on the use of O(N²):
<https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/algorithms/insertion-sort/a/insertion-sort>

Insertion Sort – Time Complexity Worksheet

- Assume all instructions have cost 1.
- If interested, see book for analysis using instruction cost.

See [TedEd video](#)

```
void insertion_sort(int A[],int N){
    int i,k,key;
    for (i=1; i<N; i++)
        key = A[i];
        // insert A[i] in the
        // sorted sequence A[0...i-1]
        k = i-1;
        while (k>=0) and (A[k]>key)
            A[k+1] = A[k];
            k = k-1;
        }
    A[k+1] = key;
}
```

i	Inner loop iterations:		
	Best : 1	Worst: i	Average: i/2
1			
2			
...			
N-2			
N-1			

At most:

At least:

Review these summations

Useful processing of summation techniques (for Θ or dominant term calculations)

Note that some of these will NOT compute the EXACT solution for the summation

Independent case (term in summation does not have the variable of the summation).

$$\sum_{k=1}^N S = S + S + S + \dots + S = NS \quad (= S \sum_{k=1}^N 1 = SN)$$

Pull constant in front of summation: $\sum_{k=1}^N (Sk) = S \sum_{k=1}^N k = S \frac{N(N+1)}{2} = \Theta(SN^2)$

Break summation in two summations

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (kS + k^2) = \sum_{k=1}^N kS + \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 = S \sum_{k=1}^N k + \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 = S \frac{N(N+1)}{2} + \frac{N(N+1)(2N+1)}{6} = \Theta(SN^2 + N^3)$$

Drop lower order term from summation term. E. g. $10k$ is lower order compared to k^2 :

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (10k + k^2) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 = \frac{N(N+1)(2N+1)}{6} = \Theta(N^3)$$

Use approximation by integrals for increasing or decreasing $f(k)$:

$$\sum_S^N f(k) = \Theta(F(N) - F(S)) \quad (\text{where } F \text{ is the antiderivative of } f)$$

Estimate runtime

- Problem:

The total number of instructions in a program (or a piece of code) is 10^{12} and it runs on a computer that executes 10^9 instructions per second. How long will it take to run this program? Give the answer in seconds. If it is very large, transform it in larger units (hours, days, years).

- Summary:

- Total instructions: 10^{12}
- Speed: 10^9 instructions/second

- Answer:

- Time = (total instructions)/speed =
 $(10^{12} \text{ instructions}) / (10^9 \text{ instr/sec}) = 10^3 \text{ seconds} \sim 15 \text{ minutes}$

- Note that this computation is similar to computing the time it takes to travel a certain distance (e.g. 120miles) given the speed (e.g. 60 miles/hour).

Estimate runtime

- A slightly different way to formulate the same problem:
 - total number of instructions in a program (or a piece of code) is 10^{12} and
 - it runs on a computer that executes one instruction in one nanosecond (10^{-9} seconds)
 - How long will it take to run this program? Give the answer in seconds. If it is very large, transform it in larger units (hours, days, years)
- Summary:
 - 10^{12} total instructions
 - **10^{-9} seconds per instruction**
- Answer:
 - Time = (total instructions) * (seconds per instruction) = $(10^{12} \text{ instructions}) * (\mathbf{10^{-9} \text{ sec/instr}}) = 10^3 \text{ seconds} \sim 15 \text{ minutes}$

Counting instructions: detailed

Answers

$$1 + 1 + n * (1 + 1 + \text{body_instr_count})$$

false true

for (init; cond; update) // assume the condition is TRUE n times
body

// Example A. Notice the ; at the end of the for loop.

```
temp = 5; x = temp * 2;
```

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) ;
```

$$2 + 1 + 1 + n * (1 + 1 + 0) = 4 + 2n$$

// Example B (source: Dr. Bob Weems) - NOT REQUIRED

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) →  $1 + 1 + n * (1 + 1 + \underline{\quad}) = 2 + n * (2 + 2 + 5 * p + 3 * p * r) = 2 + 4 * n + 5 * n * p + 3 * n * p * r$ 
```

```
for (t=0; t<p; t++) →  $1 + 1 + p * (1 + 1 + 1 + \underline{\quad}) = 2 + p * (3 + 2 + 3 * r) = 2 + 5 * p + 3 * p * r$ 
```

```
{
```

```
  c[i][t]=0;
```

```
  for (k=0; k<r; k++) →  $1 + 1 + r * (1 + 1 + 1) = 2 + 3 * r$ 
```

```
    c[i][t] += a[i][k] * b[k][t];
```

```
}
```

Counting instructions: sequential vs nested loops

Answers

// Example sequential vs nested

for (t=0; t<n; t++)

printf("A");

for (i=0; i<p; i++){

for (k=0; k<r; k++)

printf("B");

}

$$\underline{\quad} + \underline{\quad} = (2 + 3*n) + (2 + 4*p + 3*p*r)$$

$$1 + 1 + n * (1 + 1 + 1) = 2 + 3*n$$

$$1 + 1 + p * (1 + 1 + \underline{\quad}) = 2 + p * (2 + 2 + 3*r) = 2 + 4*p + 3*p*r$$

$$1 + 1 + r * (1 + 1 + 1) = 2 + 3*r$$