

Homework Set 1

Exercise 1.2

Page 6

Online Computation And Competitive Analysis Borodin & El-Yaniv

Statement of Exercise

Let ALG be any list accessing algorithm and let σ be any request sequence. Prove that there is an algorithm ALG_p that uses only paid transpositions (before the access) such that $ALG_p(\sigma) = ALG(\sigma)$. Consider a variant of the list accessing problem in which the algorithm is charged $f(i)$ for accessing the i^{th} item (assume the static model). Prove that the above result holds whenever the cost of transposing the i^{th} and $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$ items is $f(i+1) - f(i)$.

Re-Statement of Exercise

Let **SLA** be the set of all algorithms that service requests to access elements of a static list given the element’s key. Let σ be any sequence of such requests $\sigma_i(x)$ for a list element with key “ x ”.

- 1) Develop a model of $ALG_h(\sigma)$ applicable to any ALG_h in **SLA**.

Re-Statement of Exercise

2) Let ALG_{NP} and $ALG_P \in \mathbf{SLA}$:
 If ALG_{NP} uses ***no paid transpositions*** before serving x ,
 and ALG_P ***may use paid transpositions*** before serving x ,
prove $ALG_P(\sigma) = ALG_{NP}(\sigma)$,
 given that:

Re-Statement of Exercise

- a) Cost of access of an item is a **linear function** $(r \cdot e)$ of its position r and a paid transposition of items at positions r and $r-1$ incurs a **unit cost** e , as well as if
- b) Cost of access of an item is an **arbitrary function** $f(r)$ of its position r and a paid transposition of items at positions r and $r-1$ incurs a **cost of** $[f(r) - f(r-1)]$.

Assumptions

- Let ***Overhead(L)*** be the cost of any algorithm’s activity preparatory to the beginning of servicing σ .
- Let ***Access(j)*** be the cost to “access” the item at position j in \mathbf{L} .

Assumptions

Define ***PaidTransposition***($r, r-1$) as the cost of an activity in which:

- $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq r \leq n$, and
- Activity performed is the transposition of item at position r with its immediate predecessor in \mathbf{L} .
- In transposition of items, knowledge of their new positions is kept updated.

Assumptions

Define ***PaidMove***(j, k) as the cost of an activity in which:

- $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq k \leq j \leq n$, and
- Activity performed is the “*moving*” of item at position j in \mathbf{L} a total of k positions closer to the first element’s position) in \mathbf{L} such that after the activity, the element formerly at position j is now at position $(j-k)$ in \mathbf{L} , and

Assumptions

- The activity of *PaidMove(j, k)* is accomplished by performing *paid transpositions*, such that its “cost” is:

$$PaidMove(j, k) = \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} PaidTransposition(r, r-1)$$

(Note the summation “runs backward”.)

Part (1):

Develop a model of $ALG_h(\sigma)$ applicable to any ALG_h in SLA.

$$ALG_h(\sigma_i(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (k=0) \\ PaidMove(j, k) & (k>0) \end{bmatrix} + Access(j-k)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (k=0) \\ \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} PaidTransposition(r, r-1) & (k>0) \end{bmatrix} + Access(j-k)$$

$$So - ALG_h(\sigma) = \sum_i ALG_h(\sigma_i(x)) + Overhead(L)$$

Part 2: Assumptions

- Both ALG_{NP} and ALG_{P} are in **SLA**, and both operate on same list \mathbf{L} of length n .
- Allow ALG_{NP} and ALG_{P} to both incur the same fixed start-up overhead expense $\text{Find}(\mathbf{L})$ that is $O(n)$ and catalogs the relative location of every item in \mathbf{L} .

Part (2a): Assumptions

- Consider any single request $\sigma_i(x)$ (*the i^{th} request occurring in σ*) for item $x \in \mathbf{L}$.
- **NB**: request is for an item with key “ x ”.
Request cannot possibly have any information of x 's location in \mathbf{L} .
- ALG_{NP} and ALG_{P} DO know x 's location in \mathbf{L} (*by virtue of startup overhead activity $\text{Find}(\mathbf{L})$ and subsequent updating*).

Part (2a): Assumptions

In defense of unit costs:

- Assume an abstraction named “RIS” – “*requested-item server*”.
- RIS resides when inactive at a “*home position*” of zero, i.e. the position *before the position of the 1st item in L*.
- To serve a requested item, RIS must “access” the item. Given j , item’s *position in L*. RIS travels from home position 0 to position j , completing “serving” by reporting item’s “information”, what ever that is.

Part (2a): Assumptions

- Assume RIS has a unit cost of e to move from position m to position $m \pm 1$ before completing the service of a request.
- Further, assume RIS has the capability of moving any item one position forward or backward, and thus can effect a transposition. Since the work is in the movement, it is reasonably assumed the cost of a single transposition is likewise e .
- For simplicity, assume the cost for RIS to deadhead (do no work while traveling) back to “home position” is a component of e .

Part (2a): Proof

Prove $ALG_P(\sigma) = ALG_{NP}(\sigma)$ when: *cost of access of an item is a linear function ($r \cdot e$) of its position r and a paid transposition of items at positions r and $r-1$ incurs a unit cost e .* For ALG_{NP} :

$$\begin{aligned}
 ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) &= \left[\begin{array}{ll} 0 & (k=0) \\ \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} \text{PaidTransposition}(r, r-1) & (k > 0) \end{array} \right] + \text{Access}(j-k) \\
 &= \text{Access}(j) \\
 &= je
 \end{aligned}$$

Part (2a): Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 ALG_P(\sigma_i(x)) &= \left[\begin{array}{ll} 0 & (k=0) \\ \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} \text{PaidTransposition}(r, r-1) & (k > 0) \end{array} \right] + \text{Access}(j-k) \\
 &= \sum_1^k e + (j-k)e = e(k + j - k) = je \\
 &= ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

Since generality is preserved with respect to i , j , and k , we may conclude for any requested item x :

$$(\forall i) \left[ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) = ALG_P(\sigma_i(x)) \right]$$

Part (2a): Proof

- $ALG_{NP}(\sigma)$, total cost of serving all requests σ_i by ALG_{NP} :

$$ALG_{NP}(\sigma) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) \right) + Find(L)$$

- Similarly, $ALG_P(\sigma)$, total cost of serving all requests σ_i by ALG_P :

$$ALG_P(\sigma) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n ALG_P(\sigma_i(x)) \right) + Find(L)$$

Part (2a): Proof

Since:

$$(\forall i) \left[ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) = ALG_P(\sigma_i(x)) \right]$$

It follows that for any x in \mathbf{L} :

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n ALG_P(\sigma_i(x)) \right)$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) \right) + Find(L) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n ALG_P(\sigma_i(x)) \right) + Find(L)$$

$$ALG_{NP}(\sigma) = ALG_P(\sigma), Q.E.D.$$

Part (2b): Proof

Prove $ALG_P(\sigma) = ALG_{NP}(\sigma)$ when: *cost of access of an item is an **arbitrary function $f(r)$ of its position r** and a paid transposition of items at positions r and $r-1$ incurs a **cost of***

$[f(r) - f(r-1)]$. For ALG_{NP} :

$$\begin{aligned} ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (k=0) \\ \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} \text{PaidTransposition}(r, r-1) & (k > 0) \end{bmatrix} + \text{Access}(j-k) \\ &= \text{Access}(j) \\ &= f(j) \end{aligned}$$

Part (2b): Proof

$$\begin{aligned} ALG_P(\sigma_i(x)) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (k=0) \\ \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} \text{PaidTransposition}(r, r-1) & (k > 0) \end{bmatrix} + \text{Access}(j-k) \\ &= \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} \text{PaidTransposition}(r, r-1) + f(j-k) \\ &= \sum_{r=j}^{j-k+1} [f(r) - f(r-1)] + f(j-k) \\ &= [f(j) - f(j-1) + f(j-1) - f(j-2) + \dots - f(j-k+1-1)] + f(j-k) \\ &= f(j) = ALG_{NP}(\sigma_i(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Subsequent proof steps are identical to those of Part 1a, so we again conclude:

$$ALG_{NP}(\sigma) = ALG_P(\sigma), Q.E.D.$$

CSE 5314 – “On-line Computation”

Homework Set 01

File: HW01PPL

End of
***E**xercise 1.2*
Page 6

CSE@UTA

Copyright © by H. J. Kearny. All Rights Reserved.

Frame: 21