MULTI-RESOLUTION STATISTICAL ANALYSIS ON GRAPH STRUCTURED DATA IN NEUROIMAGING

Won Hwa Kim, Vikas Singh, Moo Chung, Nagesh Adluru, Barbara B. Bendlin, Sterling C. Johnson

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Apr. 19, 2015

Introduction Fourier Transform Wavelet Transform on Graph Structured Data Wavelet Transform on Graphs Application Summa

Overview

- Multi-resolution
- Wavelets
- Wavelets on Graph
- Graph Data in Medical Imaging
- Applications

< □ > < □ > < □ >

What is Multi-resolution View?

- Simple zoom (in or out) of a function
- Scale space theory
- Gaussian / Laplacian Pyramid





Figure: Example of Multi-resolution view of an image. Top: Images in fine to coarse scales are shown from left to right, Bottom: Laplacian of Images

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Why Multi-resolution?

- Invariant Shape Descriptors (e.g., SIFT)
- Context Analysis (e.g., texture analysis)
- Edge Detection
- Compression



Figure: Left: SIFT features, Middle: Cancer vs Normal tissue, Right: Edge detection.

AP ► < E ►

Why Multi-resolution?

- Graph structured data in neuroimaging
- Vertices and Edges





□ > < = > <

Figure: Left: cortical thickness, Right: neuron fiber between ROIs.

Why Multi-resolution?

- Can we adopt Multi-resolution on functions on Graphs?
- Wavelet?



▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

Fourier Transform

- Fourier Series: Superposition of sinusoidal functions $e^{j\omega t}$
- Fourier Transform of f(x) yields Fourier coefficients:

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-j\omega x} \mathrm{d}x$$
 (1)

• Inverse Fourier Transform reconstructs the original function:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{f}(\omega) e^{j\omega x} d\omega$$
 (2)

Fourier Basis vs. Wavelet Basis

- Fourier bases: Not localized in time, therefore causes artifacts.
- Wavelet bases: Localized in both time and frequency



Figure: Left: Fourier basis, Middle: Haar Wavelet, Right: Mexican hat wavelet

Mother Wavelet

• Mother wavelet $\psi :$

$$\psi_{s,a}(x) = \frac{1}{s}\psi(\frac{x-a}{s}) \tag{3}$$

- Function with scale s and translation a
- Scales (dilation s) of mother wavelet ψ :



Mother Wavelet

• Mother wavelet $\psi :$

$$\psi_{s,a}(x) = \frac{1}{s}\psi(\frac{x-a}{s}) \tag{4}$$

- Function with scale s and translation a
- Translation (localization a) of mother wavelet ψ :



Mother Wavelet in the Frequency Domain

- ψ (blue) in the frequency domain: band-pass filters
- ϕ (red) in the frequency domain: low-pass filter



Figure: Example of a scaling function (red) and band-pass filters (blue) in the frequency domain.

Continuous Wavelet Transform

• Wavelet Transform of f(x):

$$W_f(s,a) = \langle f, \psi_{s,a} \rangle = \frac{1}{s} \int f(x) \psi^*(\frac{x-a}{s}) \mathrm{d}x \qquad (5)$$

- Outcome: wavelet coefficient $W_f(s,a)$

• Inverse wavelet transform (with $C_\psi = \int rac{|\Psi(j\omega)|^2}{|\omega|} \mathrm{d}\omega < \infty$)

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{C_{\psi}} \iint W_f(s, a) \psi_{s,a}(x) \mathrm{d}a \,\mathrm{d}s \tag{6}$$

-
$$\Psi(j\omega) = \int \psi(t) e^{-j\omega t} dt$$

- Outcome: reconstructed function f(x)

Graph Structured Data in NeuroImaging

- Neuroimaging modalities with graph structures
 - Cortical thickness on a brain surface



Graph Structured Data in NeuroImaging

- Neuroimaging modalities with graph structures
 - Tractography using Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)





Multi-resolution on Graphs in NeuroImaging

• Domain:
$$G = \{V, E, \omega\}$$

- V: vertex set, E: edge set, $\omega:$ edge weight
- Construct filters in the frequency domain, and transform back to the original domain
- Ingredients: Filters and Orthogonal Basis



- Spectral Graph Theory
 - Adjacency Matrix A: $a_{m,n}$ for connectivity information
 - Degree Matrix D: diagonal matrix with the sum of weights
 - Graph Laplacian: L = D A
 - Eigenvector χ_l and eigenvalue λ_l of L

$$0 = \lambda_0 \le \lambda_1 \le \dots \le \lambda_{N-1} \tag{7}$$



Figure: a) Star-shaped graph G, b) Adjacency matrix A of G, c) Degree matrix D, d) Graph Laplacian L.

• Graph Fourier transform of f(n)

$$\hat{f}(l) = \langle f, \chi_l \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^N f(n) \chi_l^*(n), \tag{8}$$

• Inverse graph Fourier transform

$$f(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(l)\chi_l(n),$$
(9)

- Define a kernel function g band-pass filters
- Wavelet function at node m, localized at node n (with δ_n)

$$\psi_{s,n}(m) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} g(s\lambda_l) \chi_l^*(n) \chi_l(m)$$
 (10)

• Example of mother wavelets on a graph (surface mesh)



Figure: 3-D sphere mesh and Mexican hat wavelets in different scales localized at one vertex.

• Forward and inverse wavelet transform of f(n)

$$W_{f}(s,n) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} g(s\lambda_{l})\hat{f}(l)\chi_{l}(n)$$
(11)

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{C_g} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_0^\infty W_f(s, n) \psi_{s,n}(m) \frac{dt}{t}$$
(12)



Figure: Example of wavelet basis on a brain surface, wavelet coefficients.

• Forward and inverse wavelet transform of f(n)

$$W_f(s,n) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} g(s\lambda_l)\hat{f}(l)\chi_l(n)$$
(13)

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{C_g} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_0^\infty W_f(s, n) \psi_{s,n}(m) \frac{dt}{t}$$
(14)



Figure: Example of wavelet basis on a brain surface, wavelet coefficients.

• Forward and inverse wavelet transform of f(n)

$$W_{f}(s,n) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} g(s\lambda_{l})\hat{f}(l)\chi_{l}(n)$$
(15)

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{C_g} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_0^\infty W_f(s, n) \psi_{s,n}(m) \frac{dt}{t}$$
(16)



Figure: Example of wavelet basis on a brain surface and wavelet coefficients.

• Forward and inverse wavelet transform of f(n)

$$W_f(s,n) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} g(s\lambda_l)\hat{f}(l)\chi_l(n)$$
(17)

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{C_g} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_0^\infty W_f(s, n) \psi_{s,n}(m) \frac{dt}{t}$$
(18)



Figure: Inverse wavelet transform.

Cortical Thickness Analysis

- Cortical thickness is the distance between inner and outer cortical surfaces.
- Data structure: cortical thickness values ($\sim 5mm$) on each vertex of a brain surface mesh (~ 160000 vertices)



Figure: Cortical thickness on a brain surface. Left: brain surface mesh, Right: cortical thickness.

Application: Cortical Signal Smoothing

- Cortical surface and thickness smoothing
- Incrementally add coarse to fine scale components



Figure: Cortical surface and thickness smoothing via wavelets on graphs 📱 🔊 ९९ ९

Statistical Group Analysis

- Given: distributions of measurements from two groups (e.g., diseased vs. controls)
- Hypothesis testing by two sample *t*-test

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$
 vs. $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$

- Compute a test statistic and a p-value.
- Reject if *p*-value is under certain threshold (e.g., 0.05 level)



Figure: Distribution of signal measurements from two different groups.

Application: Cortical Thickness Discrimination

- Given: measurements at each vertex
- Wavelet Multi-scale Descriptor (WMD): a set of wavelet coefficients at each vertex \boldsymbol{n}

$$WMD_f(n) = \{W_f(s, n) | s \in S\}$$
(19)

- Group analysis on AD vs. Control
- Increase in sensitivity, decrease in sample sizes



Application: Cortical Thickness Discrimination

- Perform hypothesis testings at each vertex
- Project the resultant *p*-values on a template



Application: Cortical Thickness Discrimination

- ADNI dataset: 356 subjects (160 AD, 196 CN)
- Hotelling's T^2 -test / False discovery rate (FDR)
- Precuneus, temporal/parietal regions, posterior cingulate, etc.



- Data structure: 162×162 adjacency matrix
 - Nodes: regions of interest (ROI)
 - Edges: 13401 connections with Fractional Anisotropy (FA)



- Analysis on functions on the edges, not on the vertices
- Requires transformation of the given data
- Line (dual) graph transform



Figure: Examples of line graphs. Edges (weight: thickness) are represented as vertices (function: size) after transformation.

- ADRC dataset: 102 subjects (44 AD, 58 CN) with FA
- GLM controlling for age / gender and Bonferroni ($\alpha = 0.05$)
- Very few connections showing significant group difference



Figure: Significant group difference between AD and control groups. Color gives sign of strength: red (and blue) are stronger in controls (and AD group).

- ADRC dataset: 102 subjects (44 AD, 58 CN) with WMD
- MGLM controlling for age / gender and Bonferroni ($\alpha = 0.05$)
- Total of 81 connections showing significant group difference



Figure: Significant group difference between AD and control groups. Color gives sign of strength: red (and blue) are stronger in controls (and AD group).

- Hub regions: ROI with connected edges ≥ 5
 - Left superior and transverse occipital sulcus, Right hippocampus,

Left superior parietal lobule, Right transverse occipital sulcus, Right precuneus, Right medial occipito-temporal gyrus.



Figure: Illustration of the hub ROIs with connections identified as showing significant group difference between AD and control groups Color gives sign of strength: red (and blue) are stronger in controls (and AD group).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Summary

- Multi-resolution
- Continuous wavelet transform
- Wavelet transform on graphs
- Application of wavelets in non-Euclidean space
 - Cortical thickness discrimination
 - Brain connectivity discrimination

References

- Wavelets on Graphs via Spectral Graph Theory, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 2011
- Multi-resolutional shape features via non-Euclidean wavelets: Applications to statistical analysis of cortical thickness, NeuroImage, 2014
- Wavelet based multi-scale shape features on arbitrary surfaces for cortical thickness discrimination, NIPS, 2012
- Multi-resolutional Brain Network Filtering and Analysis via Wavelets on Non-Euclidean Space, MICCAI, 2013
- This research is supported by NSF and NIH.

白 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ